Horizon Scanning in Oncology

Bevacizumab (Avastin®) for platinum-resistant recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer



DSD: Horizon Scanning in Oncology Nr. 48 ISSN online 2076-5940

Horizon Scanning in Oncology

Bevacizumab (Avastin®) for platinum-resistant recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer



Vienna, September 2014

Institute for Health Technology Assessment Ludwig Boltzmann Gesellschaft

Authors: Mag. Caroline Hepperger

Project leader: Dr. med. Anna Nachtnebel, MSc

External reviewer: This report was reviewed by an external expert in the field of gynaecological tumours. The reviewer refrained from being named in accordance with processes applicable to peer-reviewed journals.

DISCLAIMER

This technology summary is based on information available at the time of research and on a limited literature search. It is not a definitive statement on safety, effectiveness or efficacy and cannot replace professional medical advice nor should it be used for commercial purposes.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Publisher:

Ludwig Boltzmann Gesellschaft GmbH Nußdorferstr. 64, 6 Stock, A-1090 Vienna http://www.lbg.ac.at/de/lbg/impressum

Responsible for Contents:

Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Health Technology Assessment (LBI-HTA) Garnisongasse 7/20, A-1090 Vienna http://hta.lbg.ac.at/

Decision support documents of the LBI-HTA do not appear on a regular basis and serve to publicize the research results of the Ludwig Boltzmann Institute of Health Technology Assessments. Decision support documents of the LBI-HTA are only available to the public via the Internet at

"http://eprints.hta.lbg.ac.at": DSD: Horizon Scanning in Oncology Nr.48 ISSN-online: 2076-5940

http://eprints.hta.lbg.ac.at/view/types/

© 2014 LBI-HTA – All rights reserved

1 Drug description

Generic/Brand name/ATC code:

Bevacizumab / Avastin® / L01XC07

Developer/Company:

Roche Registration Ltd.

Description:

Bevacizumab (Avastin[®]) is a recombinant monoclonal antibody targeting the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR). By inhibiting the binding of VEGF which is responsible for the growth of blood vessels, it prevents the development of the blood supply of cancer cells and thus inhibits tumour angiogenesis [1].

Bevacizumab (Avastin[®]) is administered as an infusion (drip into a vein). The dosage ranges from 5 mg/kg of body weight to 15 mg/kg of body weight depending on the type of cancer [1]. The recommended dose of bevacizumab (Avastin[®]) in combination with paclitaxel or pegylated liposomal doxorubicin for the treatment of recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube and primary peritoneal cancer is 10 mg/kg of body weight given once every two weeks. In combination with topotecan, the recommended dose of Avastin[®] is 15 mg/kg of body weight once every three weeks. It should be administered as an intravenous infusion [1].

Bevacizumab (Avastin[®]), in addition to carboplatin and paclitaxel as a frontline treatment for epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube and primary peritoneal cancer, is given for up to six cycles of treatment followed by continued use of bevacizumab (Avastin[®]) as single agent. Treatment can be continued either until disease progression, for a maximum of 15 months or until unacceptable toxicity, whichever occurs earlier [1].

Side effects commonly observed are hypertension, fatigue, diarrhoea, abdominal pain, as well as asthenia. Gastrointestinal perforation, haemorrhage and arterial thromboembolism are the most severe side effects of Avastin[®] [1, 2]. People who are allergic to bevacizumab, recombinant antibodies or any other ingredients must not receive Avastin[®]. It must also not be used for pregnant women [1].

bevacizumab prevents the growth of blood vessels

the dosage varies from 5 mg/kg to 15 mg/kg of bodyweight depending on cancer type

administration is given until disease progression, unacceptable toxicity or max. 15 months

most frequent side effect is hypertension

2 Indication

Bevacizumab (Avastin[®]) is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with platinum-resistant recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who received no more than two prior chemotherapy regimens and who have not received prior therapy with bevacizumab or other VEGF inhibitors or VEGF receptor-targeted agents.

Avastin[®] is indicated for platinum-resistant recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer

3 Current regulatory status

The EMA extended the licensed indication of bevacizumab on the 31st of July 2014 to

bevacizumab in combination with paclitaxel, topotecan, or pegylated liposomal doxorubicin for the treatment of adult patients with platinum-resistant recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who received no more than two prior chemotherapy regimens and who have not received prior therapy with bevacizumab or other VEGF inhibitors or VEGF receptor-targeted agents.

The EMA has also approved Avastin[®] for the following indications [1]:

- Front-line treatment of adult patients with advanced (FIGO [Fédération Internationale de Gynécologie et d'Obstétrique]) stages III B, IIIC, IV) epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer (in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel).
- Adult patients with first recurrence of platinum-sensitive epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer who have not received prior therapy with bevacizumab or other VEGF inhibitors or VEGF receptor-targeted agents (in combination with carboplatin or gemcitabine).
- First-line treatment of adult patients with metastatic breast cancer (combination with paclitaxel).
- First-line treatment of adult patients with metastatic breast cancer in combination with capecitabine (when other chemotherapy options including taxanes or anthracyclines are not considered appropriate).
- Adult patients with unresectable, advanced, metastatic or recurrent non-small cell lung cancer (in addition to platinum-based chemotherapy).
- In combination with fluoropyrimidine-based chemotherapy it is indicated for treatment of adult patients with metastatic carcinoma of the colon or rectum.
- First-line treatment of adult patients with advanced and/or metastatic renal cancer (in combination with interferon alfa-2a).

indication not yet In the U.S., the FDA has not yet approved Avastin[®] for the treatment of platinum-resistant recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer. However, it is licensed for [3]:

- Patients with metastatic colorectal cancer, combined with intravenous 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy for first- or second-line treatment.
- The second-line treatment in combination with fluoropyrimidine-, irinotecan- or fluoropyrimidine-oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy for patients with metastatic colorectal cancer who have progressed on a first-line Avastin[®]-containing regimen [3].
- Non-squamous non-small cell lung cancer (in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel, for first-line treatment of unresectable, locally advanced, recurrent or metastatic disease).

EMA approved bevacizumab for platinum-resistant epithelial ovarian cancer in July 2014

- Glioblastoma in adult patients with progressive disease following prior therapy (used as single agent).
- Patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma in combination with interferon alfa [3].

4 Burden of disease

Epithelial ovarian cancer, fallopian tube and primary peritoneal cancer show similar clinical characteristics and behaviour. Therefore, they are often considered collectively [4].

Ovarian cancer forms in tissues of the ovary [5]. 95% of ovarian malignancies are derived from epithelial cells [6]. Epithelial ovarian cancer remains the fourth most frequent cause of cancer death in European women. Additionally, it is the most lethal gynaecological tumour in Western Countries [7, 8]. 21,980 new cases are expected in the US in 2014 [9] and in Austria, 646 women were newly diagnosed with ovarian cancer in 2011 [10]. Overall, the annual age-adjusted death rate per year of patients suffering from ovarian cancer was 7.5 per 100,000 women in the US and 5.3 per 100,000 women in Austria in 2011, respectively [9].

Therefore, ovarian cancer is the eighth most frequent cancer and it represents approximately 4% of all tumours in Austrian women. However, according to Statistik Austria, the incidence of ovarian cancer and diseaserelated death rate continuously declined over the past decades (e.g., reduction of disease-related death rate of 11% over the last ten years) [10, 11].

The median age at diagnosis of ovarian cancer is 63 years (out of these women 12% are < 45 years, 41.2% are between 45 and 64 years, and 44.5% are \geq 65 years at time of diagnosis) [12]. Since the incidence of epithelial ovarian cancer increases with age, the prevalence (57/100,000 women) is highest in the eighth decade of life [13].

Different systems are available to classify cancer stages. The most commonly used for staging ovarian cancer are the FIGO (Fédération International de Gynécologie et d'Obstétrique) and the TNM (tumour, node, metastasis) staging system. The five general stages of the FIGO system are:

- Stage 0: "Pre-cancer" or carcinoma in situ.
- Stage I: Tumour limited to ovaries.
- Stage II: Tumour involves one or both ovaries with pelvic extension and/or implants
- Stage III: Tumour involves one or both ovaries with microscopically confirmed peritoneal metastasis outside the pelvis.
- Stage IV: Patient shows distant metastasis [14].

Signs and symptoms of ovarian cancer occur very late; therefore, over 75% of ovarian cancer patients suffer from advanced stage III or stage IV disease at the time of diagnosis [15, 16].

After cytoreductive surgery and platinum-based adjuvant chemotherapy in combination with paclitaxel, several factors (disease stage, age, etc.) play an

epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube and primary peritoneal cancer often considered together

in the US 100,000 women diagnosed with ovarian cancer per year

63 years median age of diagnosis of epithelial ovarian cancer

ovarian classification systems: FIGO and TNM

diagnosis at late stage of disease (75%) 1st-line therapy: cytoreductive surgery + platinum-based chemotherapy essential role in disease relapse. Patients with stage I/II disease show a relapse rate of 10-20%, whereas patients at advanced stage III-IV ovarian cancer have relapses in 60-85% of cases [4].

risk factors: age, stage of disease, nulliparous, family history regarding cancer, time of menopause and menarche

Age is also considered as a risk factor for relapse and survival. Whilst women under 40 years have a five-year survival rate of 65%, only 20% of ovarian cancer patients older than 40 years are alive five years after diagnosis [4].

Besides age and null parity, risk factors for developing the disease are early age of menarche, late age of menopause and family history of ovarian, breast or endometrial (uterine) cancer [17]. An identifiable genetic predisposition (e.g. BRCA1/BRCA2) is present in only 10% to 15% of patients [18].

Depending on the response to therapy, epithelial ovarian cancer can be divided into:

- \$* platinum-refractory: patients progressing during therapy or within four weeks after the last dose;
- platinum-resistant: patients progressing within six months of platinum-based therapy;
- \$₹ platinum-sensitive: patients progressing with an interval of more than 12 months
- \$₹ partially platinum-sensitive: patients progressing between six and 12 months [19, 20].

Women with platinum-resistant or platinum-refractory disease usually have a poor prognosis (i.e., expected overall survival < 12 months) [19].

Current treatment 5

surgery and chemotherapy are the current treatments Since about 80% of patients with ovarian cancer will relapse after first-line platinum-based and taxane-based chemotherapy, the choice of second-line therapy does not primarily depend on risk factors such as age or stage of disease, but rather on the progression-free interval from the last chemotherapeutic regimen [4, 15, 19, 21]. Recommended second-line treatment options for platinum-recurrent/resistant ovarian cancer patients are:

- ⇔paclitaxel,
- pegylated liposomal doxorubicin,
- ⇔topotecan,
- ✤ or gemcitabine [15, 19, 22].

Due to the poor prognosis, treatment should focus on improving the quality of life and on alleviating symptoms [19].

several active agents in

Although combination regimens with non-cross-resistant agents are associated with higher objective response rates and a two- to three-month improvement in progression-free survival (PFS), they were also more toxic in clinical trials. Therefore, single-agent therapy is the standard of care for platinum-resistant/refractory ovarian cancer patients [4]. Rarely, retreatment with platinum drugs may also be used as a treatment option.

ovarian cancer 2nd-line therapy for platinum-resistant refractory/relapsed ovarian cancer

6 Evidence

A systematic literature search was conducted in Embase, Ovid Medline, the CRD Database and the Cochrane Library on the 28th of July 2014. 660 references were identified. Additionally, the manufacturer was contacted, and submitted seven [23-29] abstracts and one full-text publication [30] which had already been identified by our systematic search.

Articles were excluded when results for platinum-resistant and platinumsensitive were not provided separately, and if patients had received more than a mean of two prior lines of therapy.

Therefore, only trials with the following criteria were included:

- Platinum-resistant epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer.
- ✤ No previous bevacizumab therapy.
- ☆ Mean ≤ 2 prior treatment lines.

Ultimately, one phase III trial [30] and five phase II trials [31-35] were included in this report.

6.1 Efficacy and safety – Phase III studies

Study title Bevacizumab Combined with Chemotherapy for Platinum-Resistant Recurrent Ovarian Cancer: The AURELIA Open-Label Randomized Phase III Trial [30, 36, 37]			
Study identifier	AURELIA trial, NCT00976911 (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier), MO22224 (Protocol number), 2009-011400-33 (EUDRACT-number)		
Design	Phase III, randomised, open-label, multicentre		
	Duration	<i>Enrolment:</i> October 2009–April 2011	
		<i>Median follow-up:</i> 13.9 months in the control group, 13.0 months in the intervention group	
		Cut-off date for primary analysis: November 2011	
		Cut-off date for final OS analysis: January 2013	
Hypothesis	Superiority sample size of \geq 360 patients was calculated to provide 80% power with a one-sided log-rank test at 0.05, assuming a hazard ratio (HR) of 0.72, corresponding to median PFS of 4.0 months with chemotherapy versus 5.56 months with bevacizumab- chemotherapy		
Funding	Hoffmann-La Roche		
Treatment	Patients allocated	Overall: 361	
groups	Intervention (n=179)	Bevacizumab: 10 mg/kg IV every two weeks or 15 mg/kg IV every three weeks (for patient receiving topotecan)	
		plus	
		Paclitaxel: 80 mg/m² IV on days 1, 8, 15 and 22 of each 4-week cycle	

Table 1: Summary of efficacy

one phase III trial and five phase II trials included

		Topotecan: 4 mg/m² IV on days 1, 8 and 15 of each 4-week cycle, or 1.25 mg/m² on days 1-5 of each 3-week cycle			
		Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin: 40 mg/m ² IV every four weeks			
	Control	Single-agent chemotherapy at the investigators' discretion:			
	(n=182)	Paclitaxel: 80 mg/m² IV on days 1, 8, 15 and 22 of each 4-week cycle			
		Topotecan: 4 mg/m² IV on days 1, 8 and 15 of each 4-week cycle, or 1.25 mg/m² on days 1-5 of each 3-week cycle			
		Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin: 40 mg/m² IV every four weeks			
Endpoints and definitionsProgression-free survival 		PFS	Investigator-assessed PFS by RECIST criteria, defined as interval between random assignment and first radiologically documented progression of disease or death, whichever occurs first		
	Objective response rate	ORR	Objective response rate according to RECIST and/or GCIG CA-125 criteria		
	Overall survival	OS	Time from date of randomisation to the date of death from any cause.		
	Quality of life	QoL	Assessed by using questionnaires such as EORTC, HADS, FOSI, which measure quality of life at weeks 8, 16, 24 or 8, 18 and 27		
Results and analysis					
Analysis description	Intention-to-treat By using an unstratified, two-sided log-rank test, the PFS of the intervention and control group was compared. Additionally, a post-hoc analysis was performed by using an unstratified, two-sided log-rank test. After the death of 70% of patients, a final OS analysis was done.				
Analysis	Inclusion	Patients ≥ 18 years of age			
population		 Histologically confirmed epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer (measurable by RECIST or GCIG CA- 125) Platinum-resistant disease (progression within < 6 months of 			
		completing \geq 4 cycles of platinum-based therapy)			
	Exclusion	ECOG	performance status 0-2		
	Exclusion	 Previous treatment with > 2 prior anticancer regimens 			
		Non-e			
		Ovaria	in tumours with low malignant potential		
		Prior r	adiotherapy to the pelvis or abdomen		
		 Surgery (incl. open biopsy) within four weeks before starting study medication 			
		Antici	pated need for major surgery during study treatment		
		Treatr prior t	nent with another investigational drug within 30 days o first study dose		
		Untrea	ated CNS or symptomatic CNS metastasis		
		first st	ibotic or haemorrhagic disorders within six months before udy dose		
		Uncor	Itrolled hypertension		
		Active	clinically significant cardiovascular disease		
	Characteristics /	Median a	ge, years (range): C 61 (25–84), $ 62 (25–80)$		
		1: C 9 (car grade at diagnosis, number (%). 5), 10 (δ)		
		2: C 48	(26), 53 (30)		
		3: C 10	5 (58), 94 (53)		

		Missing: C 20	(11), 22 (12)			
		Prior anti-angiogenic therapy, number (%): C 14 (8), I 12 (7)				
		Two prior CT regimens, number (%): C 78 (43), I 72 (40)				
		ECOG Performance Status, number (%):				
		o: C 99 (54), l	107 (60)			
		1: C 69 (38), I	58 (32)			
		2: C 11 (6), I 12 (7)				
		Missing: C 3 (2	2), 2 (1)			
		Measurable dise	ase, number (%): C 144 ((79), l 143 (80)		
		Ascites, number	(%): C 54 (30), I 59 (33)			
Descriptive statistics	Treatment group		Chemotherapy only	Bevacizumab + chemotherapy		
and estimated variability	Number of subjects		N = 182	N = 179		
	Median PFS, months		3.4	6.7		
	(95% CI)		(2.2 to 3.7)	(5.7 to 7.9)		
	Median OS, mont	ns	13.3	16.6		
	(95% CI)		(11.9 to 16.4)	(13.7 to 19.0)		
	ORR (measurable by RECIST), % [n = 287]		11.8	27.3		
			(p = .001)	(p = .001)		
	PRO (measurable ≥ 15% improveme abdominal/GI sym week 8/9	by QLQ-OV28) nt in ptoms n (%) at	15 (9.3)	34 (21.9)		
Effect estimate per comparison	Comparison group	25		Bevacizumab + chemotherapy vs Chemotherapy only		
	Median PFS		HR	0.48		
			95% Cl	0.38 to 0.60		
			P value	< .001		
	05		HR	0.85		
			05% (I	0.66 to 1.08		
				0.00 to 1.00		
			P value	< .174		
	ORR (measurable by RECIST and/or GCIG CA-125)		Percentage point difference	18.3		
			95% CI	9.6 to 27.0		
			P value	< .001		
	≥ 15% improvement in abdominal/GI symptoms measurable by QLQ-OV28		Percentage point difference	12.7		
			95% CI	4.4 to 20.9		
			P value	0.002		

Abbreviations: NCT = National Clinical Trial; IV = intravenous; PFS = progression-free survival; OS = overall survival; ORR = objective response rate; PRO = patient-reported outcome; ST = safety and toxicity; RECIST = Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumours; GCIG CA-125 = Gynaecological Cancer Intergroup Cancer Antigen 125; EORTC QLQ-C30 = European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire, Cancer Module C30; EORTC QLQ-OV28 = European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire, Ovarian Cancer Module 28; FOSI = Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Ovarian Cancer Symptoms Index; AEs = adverse events; ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; CNS = central nerve system; CI = confidence interval

Study ID: NCT00976911					
Grade (according		Control	Intervention		
to CTC version 3.0)	Outcome, n (%)	(n=181)	(n=179)		
Grade≥3	Hypertension	2 (1)	13 (7)		
	Proteinuria	0 (0)	3 (2)		
	Gastrointestinal (GI) perforation	0 (0)	3 (2)		
	Fistula/abscess	0 (0)	2 (1)		
	Bleeding	2 (1)	2 (1)		
	Wound healing complications	0 (0)	0 (0)		
	Thromboembolic event arterial	0 (0)	4 (2)		
	Thromboembolic event venous	8 (4)	5 (3)		
	Congestive heart failure	1 (1)	1 (1)		
	Cardiac disorders	0 (0)	0 (0)		
Others	Deaths, not considered due to primary progressive disease	5 (2.8)	5 (2.8)		

Table 2: Summary of grade ≥ 3 adverse events of special interest

361 patients were enrolled comparing bevacizumab + chemotherapy to chemotherapy platinum-refractory

disease , no more than 2 prior therapies

significant improvement of PFS (3.4 vs. 6.7 months)

no statistically significant difference of OS In this open-label, randomised phase III trial, 361 patients were enrolled and randomised either to a single-agent chemotherapy or to a chemotherapy in combination with bevacizumab (Avastin[®]) [30]. The choice of chemotherapeutic regimen (i.e., topotecan, pegylated liposomal doxorubicin or paclitaxel) was at the investigator's discretion. Eligible patients had an epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer (measurable by RECIST or by Gynecologic Cancer Intergroup CA 125 Response Definition) that had progressed within < 6 months after completing \geq 4 cycles of platinum-based therapy. Patients with platinum-refractory disease, with more than two prior chemotherapy regimens and those with a bowel obstruction related to an underlying disease were excluded [30, 36]. Only patients with ECOG performance status 0–2 were included. Most of the enrolled patients showed a histological grade 3 tumour at diagnosis; only about 7% had received prior antiangiogenic therapy [30].

ent The AURELIA trial demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in investigator-assessed PFS, the primary outcome, for platinum-resistant ovarian cancer patients treated with bevacizumab and a chemotherapy regimen. The median PFS in the group treated with single-agent chemotherapy was 3.4 months (95% CI 2.2 to 3.7). In comparison, the median PFS was 6.7 months (95% CI 5.7 to 7.9) for the combination group, yielding a hazard ratio of 0.48. The PFS was consistent in subgroups according to age, measurable disease and ascites. For patients younger than 65 years, the hazard ratio was 0.49 and for elderly patients (≥ 65 years) 0.47 respectively. Patients with ascites had a hazard ratio of 0.40, whereas patients without ascites yielded a hazard ratio of 0.48. In the subgroup analyses, the hazard ratio ranged from 0.40 to 0.53 [30].
ally With a median overall survival (OS) of 13.3 months (95% CI 11.9 to 16.4) in

the control group and 16.6 months (95% CI 13.7 to 19.0) in patients additionally treated with bevacizumab, there was no statistically significant difference between the two treatment options. However, these results may be influenced by the fact that upon evidence of disease progression patients were allowed to cross-over to the combination arm; consequently about 40% of patient in the chemotherapy arm crossed over to bevacizumab and chemotherapy [30]. During the study period, five deaths (2.8% of patients) in each group which were not primarily caused by disease progression were reported. Infection with neutropenia, cardiac failure, septic shock, peritonitis and gastrointestinal (GI) haemorrhage were the causes of death in the control group. Patients in the bevacizumab and chemotherapy group died due to infection with neutropenia, GI haemorrhage, GI perforation, cardiac arrest and shock [30].

Regarding side effects, the AURELIA trial showed similar results as previous studies with bevacizumab in ovarian cancer. Hypertension and proteinuria \geq grade 2 were more common in the treatment arm with bevacizumab. 20% of patients treated with this regimen showed a hypertension and 2% showed a proteinuria. Grade 3 adverse events such as GI perforation, proteinuria, fistula/abscess and reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome only occurred in the treatment arm with bevacizumab. Bleeding, thromboembolic event, wound-healing complication and congestive heart failure (\geq grade 3) occurred in both groups [30].

43.6% of patients in the intervention group experienced grade 2–5 adverse events and therefore discontinued study treatment, in comparison to 8.8% in the single-agent chemotherapy group. The median time to withdrawal from study treatment in the combination therapy group was 5.2 months, compared to 2.4 months in the chemotherapy only group. Regarding the subgroup of patients older than 65 years, the rates of discontinuation due to adverse events were 8.8% (chemotherapy arm) versus 50.0% (combination with bevacizumab) [2, 30].

For the analysis of patient-reported outcomes (PROs), the primary hypothesis was that more patients treated with bevacizumab would experience \geq 15% improvement in abdominal/GI symptoms at week 8/9 measured by the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire (EORTC), Ovarian Cancer Module 28. From the overall 361 patients allocated to AURELIA, 317 (88%) completed the baseline questionnaire, 206 (57%) filled in the questionnaires at week 8/9 and 129 (36%) at week 16/18. Fewer results are available for the chemotherapy group, where only 24% completed the 16-/18-week follow-up in comparison with 48% in the bevacizumab and chemotherapy group. At week 8/9, 34 (21.9%) of patients allocated to bevacizumab and chemotherapy showed the above mentioned improvement in comparison to 15 (9.3%) patients in the singleagent chemotherapy. The difference, therefore, was 12.7% favouring bevacizumab (95% CI 4.4 to 20.9%; p = .002). Also at week 16/18, significantly more patients dedicated to bevacizumab showed a $\ge 15\%$ improvement in abdominal/GI symptoms, i.e. 15.5% in the bevacizumab group and 5.6% in the chemotherapy group. This yielded a difference of 9.9% (95% CI 2.9 to 17.0%; p = .005). A mixed-model repeated-measures analysis for the abdominal/GI subscale of the EORTC was performed to summarise the effects of the two treatment arms. It demonstrates a 6.4-point difference (CI 95% 1.3 to 11.6; p=.015) favouring the group treated with bevacizumab and chemotherapy [37].

5 deaths in each study group during the trial not primarily caused by disease progression

GI perforation, proteinuria, fistula/abscess and reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy only in bevacizumab treatment arm

analysis of PROs showed positive influence of bevacizumab and chemotherapy on improvement of abdominal/GI symptoms

57% questionnaires completed at week 8/9 follow-up and 36% at week 16/18

6.2 Efficacy and safety – further studies

Bevacizumab + topotecan

phase II trial with bevacizumab + topotecan	40 patients (mean age 58.6 years) were enrolled in this phase II trial [38]. Inclusion criterion was primary or secondary platinum-resistant disease. Patients were treated, in median, with eight cycles of bevacizumab 10 mg/kg on days 1 and 15. In addition, they received topotecan 4 mg/m ² on days 1, 8 and 15 of a 4-week cycle until the progression of disease or excessive toxicity
PFS 7.8 months and OS 16.6 months	occurred. 21 (53%) patients had one prior treatment regimen, whereas 19 (47%) had already received two prior therapies. The median PFS (RECIST criteria) was 7.8 months (95% CI 3.0 to 9.4) and the OS was 16.6 months (95% CI 12.8 to 22.9) in all patients. Ten patients (25%) had partial response (PR), 14 patients (35%) had stable disease (SD) and 16 patients (40%) had a progressive disease (PD). 55% of patients were progression-free for more than six months. Most of the toxicities were classified as mild or moderate.
most toxicities mild or moderate	The most common adverse events \geq grade 3 were hypertension (20%), neutropenia (18%), gastrointestinal toxicity (18%), metabolic toxicity (16%) or pain (13%) [32].
	<u>Bevacizumab + paclitaxel</u>
phase II trial investigated bevacizumab + paclitaxel	Another phase II study by Tillmanns et al. [33] investigated bevacizumab with albumin-bound paclitaxel in patients with recurrent, platinum-resistant epithelial ovarian or primary peritoneal cancer. The 48 patients enrolled (mean age 61.0 years) received ab-paclitaxel 100 mg/m ² IV on days 1, 8 and 15 with bevacizumab 10 mg/kg IV on days 1 and 15 every four weeks (28 days). The patients had received a mean of 1.8 (range 1.0–6.0) prior treatment lines. All patients were treated until disease progression.
median PFS was 8.1 and OS was 17.2 months	The median PFS measured by RECIST criteria was 8.08 months (95% CI 5.78 to 10.15) and the median OS was 17.15 months (95% CI 13.57 to 23.82). Four patients (8.3%) showed a complete response (CR) and 20 patients (41.7%) had a PR, yielding an overall response rate (ORR) of 50% (95% CI 34.8% to 65.1%). 14 patients (29.2%) had an SD, eight patients (16.7%) had a PD and two patients (4.2%) could not be evaluated.
nearly half of patients had at least one grade 3 toxicity	Nearly half of the patients (43.8%) had at least one grade 3 non-serious adverse event and four patients (8%) experienced a grade 4 event. The most frequent toxicities were gastrointestinal (16.7%), vascular disorders (6.3%), as well as infections and infestations (6.3%) [33].
	<u>Bevacizumab + pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (PLD)</u>
phase II trial with bevacizumab + PLD	46 patients (mean age 64 years) participated in the phase II study and they received a treatment regimen with bevacizumab 15 mg/kg (starting at cycle 2) and PLD 30 mg/m ² every three weeks [34].
median PFS was 7.8 months	The median of prior treatment lines was 2.0 (range 1.0–3.0). The median PFS (by GCIC criteria) was 6.6 months (95% CI 5.5 to 8.7) and 7.8 months (95% CI 6.4 to 9.7) by RECIST criteria. 33.2 months (95% CI 18.8 to not applicable) was the OS. The ORR measured by RECIST criteria was 30%, four patients (9%) had CR, nine patients (21%) had PR and 24 patients (56%) had SD.

Several adverse events occurred during this trial. Mucositis (64%), palmarplantar erythrodysesthesia (PPE)/ulceration (52%), asthenia (52%) and rash/pruritus (50%) were the most common non-haematological toxicities. No treatment-related deaths were observed. The most frequent grade 3 toxicities were PPE/ulceration and hypertension. The most common adverse events related to the administration of bevacizumab were hypertension (46%), headaches (41%) and epistaxis (21%) [34].

Bevacizumab + pemetrexed

In the phase II study, 34 patients (mean age 61.5 years) were enrolled [31]. The administration of pemetrexed 500 mg/m² IV and bevacizumab 15 mg/kg IV was given every three weeks. 35% of the patients were platinum-resistant with a median PFS of 6.7 (95% CI 4.1 to 9.9) months and an OS of 16.7 months. 53% of all patients (platinum-resistant and platinum-sensitive) showed hematologic side effects (grade 3–4).

The most frequent grade 3–4 adverse events were neutropenia (47%), leukopenia (27%), metabolic toxicity (24%) and pain (21%). Fatigue occurred in nearly all patients (94%), but was mostly (76%) of grade 1-2. The treatment combination of bevacizumab and pemetrexed is an active combination for platinum-resistant and platinum-sensitive recurrent ovarian cancer [31].

Bevacizumab + docetaxel

This phase II trial investigated the safety and efficacy of bevacizumab (15 mg/kg) on day one of a 3-week cycle and docetaxel (40 mg/m^2) on days 1 and 8 [35]. In this trial, 41 patients (mean age 58.2 years) with a platinum-free interval < 12 months were enrolled. The median PFS for the 19 patients with a platinum-free interval < 6 months was 6.2 months (95% CI 4.1 to 4.7).

Regarding the response rates and the toxicities, no distinction between platinum-resistant and platinum-sensitive can be made. ORR was 56.4% with the majority, i.e., 55.3 being PR. Six patients (15.8%) had PD and ten patients (26.3%) had SD. 65.9% of the patients experienced grade 3–4 toxicity. The most frequent grade 3–4 adverse events were neutropenia (14.6%), fatigue (12.2%), leukopenia (12.2%) and metabolic/laboratory toxicity (12.2%) [35].

7 Estimated costs

The recommended dosage for Avastin[®] in patients with recurrent platinumresistant, epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer is 10 mg/kg bodyweight every two weeks or 15 mg/kg bodyweight every three weeks [30].

Avastin[®] is available in vials of 4 ml (25 mg/ml) and in vials of 16 ml (25 mg/ml). The costs for Avastin[®] are \in 414 for the 4 ml vials, and \in 1,421 for the 16 ml vials [39]. The overall treatment costs for one cycle of Avastin[®] (assuming 10 mg/kg are administered every two weeks by using one 16 ml vial and two 4 ml vials) for a woman assuming a body weight of 60 kg would therefore be approximately \in 4,500. In the AURELIA trial, the median dura-

several adverse events occurred

investigation of bevacizumab + pemetrexed

median PFS was 6.7 and OS was 16.7 months

investigation of bevacizumab – docetaxel

median PFS was 6.2 months

recommended dosage: 10 mg/kg every two weeks or 15 mg/kg every three weeks

€414 for 4 ml vial €1,421 for 16 ml vial

approximately €4,500 per month tion of bevacizumab was six cycles, leading to total costs of $\in 27,000$. In addition, the costs for the previous treatment regimens, prior surgery and the treatment of the side effects accrue.

8 Ongoing research

no ongoing phase III trials

two ongoing phase II trial investigating

bevacizumab

In August 2014 no on-going phase III trials investigating bevacizumab (Avastin[®]) for patients with recurrent platinum-resistant, epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer were found at ClinicalTrials.gov and clinicaltrialsregister.eu.

Two ongoing phase II trials are registered:

- NCT01936974: This study investigates platinum-gemcitabineavastin (PGA) for platinum-resistant/refractory, paclitaxelpretreated recurrent ovarian and peritoneal carcinoma. September 2014 is the estimated primary completion date.
- NCT01652079: A phase II, 2-stage trial of CRLX101 in combination with bevacizumab in recurrent platinum-resistant ovarian, tubal and peritoneal cancer. The estimated primary completion date is April 2016.

At the moment there are various ongoing phase III trials investigating bevacizumab for different types of cancer such as head and neck cancer, colorectal and breast cancer. In addition, several trials investigate bevacizumab for already approved indications like glioblastoma or advanced non-small cell lung cancer.

9 Commentary

Since the end of July 2014, the EMA has approved bevacizumab (Avastin[®]) in combination with paclitaxel, topotecan, or pegylated liposomal doxorubicin for the treatment of adult patients with platinum-resistant recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who received no more than two prior chemotherapy regimens and who have not received prior therapy with bevacizumab or other VEGF inhibitors or VEGF receptor-targeted agents [1, 2].

This decision was based on the findings of a randomised phase III trial (AURELIA) that investigated the combination of bevacizumab with chemotherapy in comparison to chemotherapy alone for platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer. Only patients who had received < 2 prior anticancer regimen and no prior VEGF therapy were eligible [30].

This trial demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in PFS for platinum-resistant ovarian cancer patients treated with bevacizumab and chemotherapy in comparison to chemotherapy alone, a setting where only suboptimal treatment options are available. The administration of bevacizumab in combination with paclitaxel, topotecan or pegylated liposomal

EMA has approved Avastin[®] for epithelial ovarian cancer impact of efficacy, safety and quality of life was investigated

significant improvement of PFS in bevacizumab + chemotherapy doxorubicin resulted in an absolute gain in median PFS of 3.3 months and reduced the risk of progression or death by 52%. Noteworthy is the fact that the PFS was assessed by investigators and not by an independent review committee; the results, therefore, could have been influenced [30]. However, an independent review of data confirmed these findings [40]. Regarding OS, with 13.3 months in median OS for the control group and 16.6 months for the patients treated additionally with bevacizumab, there was no statistically significant difference between the two treatment options [30]. However, these results are compromised due to the fact that 40% of patients crossed-over from single-agent chemotherapy to bevacizumab in addition to a chemotherapy regimen [30, 41].

Regarding side effects, the AURELIA trial showed similar results as previous studies with bevacizumab in ovarian cancer. GI perforation, neutropenia and hypertension also occurred in several phase II trials investigating bevacizumab in combination with a chemotherapy regimen [31-35].Grade 3 adverse events such as gastrointestinal (GI) perforation, proteinuria, fistula/abscess and reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome only occurred in the bevacizumab arm. Hypertension and proteinuria grade ≥ 2 were more common in the treatment arm with bevacizumab; 20% of patients treated with this regimen had hypertension and 2% had proteinuria [30] in comparison to 7% and 0% in the chemotherapy only arm.

Bevacizumab can be associated with serious adverse events and the toxicities can sometimes be life-threatening. Therefore, the improvement of quality and life, as well as alleviation of symptoms, plays an important role in the decision of the treatment regimen for patients with platinum-resistant recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer [41, 42]. Some indications for a positive impact on quality of life can be derived from patients included in the AURELIA trial. The main hypothesis, i.e., that more patients in the bevacizumab arm will experience a $\geq 15\%$ improvement in abdominal/GI symptoms, showed a statistically significant difference favouring bevacizumab [37].

However, findings related to quality of life have to put into perspective; due to the open-label design of this trial, results may be potentially biased in favour of the bevacizumab arm. Moreover, the permission of crossover could have an influence on this outcome [41, 42] and as mentioned by the authors themselves, a considerable number of patients overall and even more in the chemotherapy group did not complete the questionnaires at the follow-up mainly because patients with progressive disease were not assessed. Missing data was then rated as non-responders. How to deal with missing data in PRO analyses is still under discussion, but it may be the case that some patients with progressive disease did not experience aggravation of symptoms. The larger number of missing questionnaires in the chemotherapy only group, may have therefore contributed to a more favourable result for the bevacizumab and chemotherapy group. When missing data was excluded from the analysis, the result did not become statistically significant [41]. GI perforation, proteinuria, fistula/abscess and reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy only in bevacizumab arm

symptom alleviation and improvement of quality of life important aim

statistically significant symptom improvement for becavizumab and chemotherapy

but open-label design, cross-over, more missing data in chemotherapy only group potentially biasing results in favour of bevacizumab and chemotherapy in which setting bevacizumab ideally?

efficacy in VEGF pretreated patients?

sequential administration of bevacizumab monotherapy followed by chemotherapy?

costs of prolonged administration of bevacizumab may not be underestimated Even though the AURELIA trial has found improved results in terms of PFS and response rate for bevacizumab in addition to chemotherapy, the question posed is when and in which setting bevacizumab can derive the greatest benefit. Bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy is also licensed in the front-line setting, but only 7% of patients in the bevacizumab group were previously treated with VEGF therapy. Therefore, it remains unknown if patients previously treated with bevacizumab will have comparable results to those included in the phase III study [41]. Due to the lack of an arm assessing bevacizumab monotherapy only, outcomes for the sequential use of bevacizumab and chemotherapy rather than administration of combination therapy as initial regimen for platinum-resistant ovarian cancer cannot be derived [41]. Since monotherapy may be less toxic than combinations with cytotoxic regimens, this should be considered in further trials [30].

Cost considerations are also associated with questions on the optimal sequencing and setting of bevacizumab therapy. Several economic analyses did not prove cost-effectiveness generally, but rather for patients at high-risk [43, 44]. Biomarkers or factors predictive of response to bevacizumab in patients with ovarian cancer have thus been suggested for patient selection. However, no reliable biomarker has been defined yet and, therefore, further studies are required to confirm the clinical usefulness of markers [43].

References

- [1] EMA. Avastin Product information. 2014 [cited 2014-05-09]; Available from: http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/000582/WC500029271.pdf
- [2] EMA. Assessment report Avastin. 2014.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Drugs@FDA. Approval Letter. 2004 [cited 2014-05-13; Available from: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2004/STN-125085 Avastin Approv.pdf
- [4] Birrer MJ, Goff B, Dizon DS. Medical treatment for relapsed epithelial ovarian, fallopian tubal, or peritoneal cancer: Platinum-resistant disease. UpToDate 2014 [cited 18.08.2014]; Available from: http://www.uptodate.com/online/content/topic.do?topicKey=gyne_onc/6196&view=p
- [5] Koskas M, Chereau E, Ballester M, Selle F, Rouzier R, Darai E. Wound complications after bevacizumab treatment in patients operated on for ovarian cancer. Anticancer Res. 2010 Nov;30(11):4743-7.
- [6] Lacey JV, Sherman ME. Ovarian neoplasia. In: Robboy SL MG, Prat J, et al, ed. *Robboy's Pathology of the Female Reproductive Tract, 2nd ed*: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier, Oxford 2009:609.
- [7] Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2013. CA Cancer J Clin. 2013 Jan;63(1):11-30.
- [8] Ghasemi R, Grassadonia A, Tinari N, Piccolo E, Natoli C, Tomao F, et al. Tumor-derived microvesicles: the metastasomes. Medical hypotheses. 2013 Jan;80(1):75-82.
- [9] National Cancer Institute. SEER Stat Fact Sheets: Ovary. SEER Stat Fact Sheets 2014 [cited 30. 09.2014]; Available from: http://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/ovary.html
- [10] Statistik Austria. Eierstock (C56) Krebsinzidenz (Neuerkrankungen pro Jahr), Österreich ab 1983.
 Statistiken Gesundheit 2014 [cited 17.09.2014]; Available from: http://www.statistik.at/web_de/statistiken/gesundheit/krebserkrankungen/eierstock/index.html
- [11] Statistik Austria. Eierstock (C56) Krebsmortalitaet (Sterbefaelle pro Jahr), Oesterreich ab 1983.
 Statistiken Gesundheit 2014 [cited 30.09.2014]; Available from: http://www.statistik.at/web_de/statistiken/gesundheit/krebserkrankungen/eierstock/index.html
- [12] National Cancer Institute. NCI's SEER Cancer Statistics Review: Cancer of the Ovary. Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results 2014 [cited 30.09.2014]; Available from: http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975 2006/results merged/sect 21 ovary.pdf
- [13] Flemming GF, Ronnet BM, Seidman J, Zaino RJ, Rubin SC. Epithelial ovarian cancer. In: Wilkins LW, ed. *Principles and practice of gynecologic oncology 5th edition*. Philadelphia 2009:763 836.
- [14] National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Ovarian Cancer including Fallopian Tube Cancer and Primary Peritoneal Cancer. NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in OncologyTM 2014 [cited 19.08.2014]; Available from: http://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician gls/f guidelines.asp#site
- [15] Krasner CN, McMeekin DS, Chan S, Braly PS, Renshaw FG, Kaye S, et al. A Phase II study of trabected in single agent in patients with recurrent ovarian cancer previously treated with platinumbased regimens. Br J Cancer. 2007 Dec 17;97(12):1618-24.
- [16] National Horizon Scanning C. Trabectedin (Yondelis) for ovarian cancer relapsed, second line: horizon scanning technology briefing (project). Birmingham: National Horizon Scanning Centre (NHSC).
- [17] Chen L, Goff B, Falk SJ. Overview of epithelial carcinoma of the ovary, fallopian tube, and peritoneum. UpToDate 2014 [cited 18.08. 2014]; Available from: http://www.uptodate.com/online/content/topic.do?topicKey=cancer/14243&view=print
- [18] Hennessy BT, Coleman RL, Markman M. Ovarian Cancer. Lancet. 2009(374):1371-82.
- [19] Ledermann JA, Raja FA, Fotopoulou C, Gonzalez-Martin A, Colombo N, Sessa C, et al. Newly diagnosed and relapsed epithelial ovarian carcinoma: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol. 2013 Oct;24 Suppl 6:vi24-32.
- [20] Friedlander M, Trimble E, Tinker A, Alberts D, Avall-Lundqvist E, Brady M, et al. Clinical trials in recurrent ovarian cancer. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2011 May;21(4):771-5.
- [21] Carter NJ, Keam SJ. Trabectedin : a review of its use in the management of soft tissue sarcoma and ovarian cancer. Drugs. 2007;67(15):2257-76.

- [22] S3-Leitlinie Diagnostik, Therapie und Nachsorge maligner Ovarialtumoren. 2014.
- [23] Pujade-Lauraine E, Hilpert F, Weber B, Reuss A, Poveda A, Kristensen G, et al. AURELIA: A randomized phase III trial evaluating bevacizumab combined with chemotherapy for platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer. ASCO Annual Meeting; 2012; 2012.
- [24] Poveda A, Selle F, Hilpert F, Reuss A, Pasic A, Savarese A, et al. Weekly paclitaxel, pegylated liposomal doxorubicin or topotecan ± bevacizumab in platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer: Analysis by chemotherapy cohort in the GCIG AURELIA randomised phase III trial. ESMO Conference; 2012; 2012.
- [25] Kristensen G, Berton-Rigaud D, Hilpert F, Reuss A, Bover I, Raspagliesi F, et al. Effect of bevacizumab combined with chemotherapy for platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer with ascites: Analysis of the AURELIA trial. IGCS Biennal Meeting; 2012; 2012.
- [26] Stockler M, Hilpert F, Friedlander M, King M, Wenzel L, Lee CC, et al. Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) results from AURELIA trial evaluating bevacizumab (BEV) plus chemotherapy (CT) for platinum-resistant ovarian cancer (OC). ASCO Annual Meeting; 2013; 2013.
- [27] Witteveen P, Lortholary A, Fehm T, Poveda A, Reuss A, Havsteen H, et al. Final overall survival results from AURELIA, an open-label randomised phase III trial of chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab for platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer. European Cancer Congress; 2013; 2013.
- [28] Sorio R, Roemer-Becuwe C, Hilpert F, Reuss A, Garcia Y, Karn J, et al. Effect of bevacizumab and chemotherapy in elderly patients: Subgroup analysis of the AURELIA open-label randomised phase III trial in platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer. ESGO; 2013; 2013.
- [29] Hilpert F, Fabbro M, Rubio MJ, Reuss A, Rosenberg P, Panici P, et al. Symptoms and adverse effects with chemotherapy ± bevacizumab for platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer: Analysis of the phase III AURELIA trial. SGO Annual meeting; 2013; 2013.
- [30] Pujade-Lauraine E, Hilpert F, Weber B, Reuss A, Poveda A, Kristensen G, et al. Bevacizumab combined with chemotherapy for platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer: The AURELIA openlabel randomized phase III trial. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2014 May 1;32(13):1302-8.
- [31] Hagemann AR, Novetsky AP, Zighelboim I, Gao F, Massad LS, Thaker PH, et al. Phase II study of bevacizumab and pemetrexed for recurrent or persistent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer. Gynecologic Oncology. 2013 Dec;131(3):535-40.
- [32] McGonigle KF, Muntz HG, Vuky J, Paley PJ, Veljovich DS, Greer BE, et al. Combined weekly topotecan and biweekly bevacizumab in women with platinum-resistant ovarian, peritoneal, or fallopian tube cancer: results of a phase 2 study. Cancer. 2011 Aug 15;117(16):3731-40.
- [33] Tillmanns TD, Lowe MP, Walker MS, Stepanski EJ, Schwartzberg LS. Phase II clinical trial of bevacizumab with albumin-bound paclitaxel in patients with recurrent, platinum-resistant primary epithelial ovarian or primary peritoneal carcinoma. Gynecologic Oncology. 2013 Feb;128(2):221-8.
- [34] Verschraegen CF, Czok S, Muller CY, Boyd L, Lee SJ, Rutledge T, et al. Phase II study of bevacizumab with liposomal doxorubicin for patients with platinum- and taxane-resistant ovarian cancer. Ann Oncol. 2012 Dec;23(12):3104-10.
- [35] Wenham RM, Lapolla J, Lin H-Y, Apte SM, Lancaster JM, Judson PL, et al. A phase II trial of docetaxel and bevacizumab in recurrent ovarian cancer within 12 months of prior platinum-based chemotherapy. Gynecologic Oncology. 2013 Jul;130(1):19-24.
- [36] Pujade-Lauraine E, Hilpert F, Weber B, Reuss A, Poveda A, Kristensen G, et al. Protocol for: Bevacizumab combined with chemotherapy for platinum-resistant recurrent ovarian cancer: The AURELIA open-label randomized phase III trial. 2014.
- [37] Stockler MR, Hilpert F, Friedlander M, King MT, Wenzel L, Lee CK, et al. Patient-reported outcome results from the open-label phase III AURELIA trial evaluating bevacizumab-containing therapy for platinum-resistant ovarian cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2014 May 1;32(13):1309-16.
- [38] McGonigle KF, Muntz HG, Vuky J, Paley PJ, Veljovich DS, Gray HJ, et al. Phase II prospective study of weekly topotecan and bevacizumab in platinum-resistant ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer. Gynecologic Oncology. 2009;112(2):S145.

- [39] Mabuchi S, Terai Y, Morishige K, Tanabe-Kimura A, Sasaki H, Kanemura M, et al. Maintenance treatment with bevacizumab prolongs survival in an in vivo ovarian cancer model. Clinical Cancer Research. 2008 Dec 1;14(23):7781-9.
- [40] EMA. Avastatin Assessment report; 2014.
- [41] Liu JF, Cannistra SA. Emerging role for bevacizumab in combination with chemotherapy for patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology. 2014 May 1;32(13):1287-9.
- [42] Monk BJ, Pujade-Lauraine E, Burger RA. Integrating bevacizumab into the management of epithelial ovarian cancer: the controversy of front-line versus recurrent disease. Ann Oncol. 2013 Dec;24 Suppl 10:x53-x8.
- [43] Dhillon S. Bevacizumab combination therapy: a review of its use in patients with epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer. Biodrugs. 2013 Aug;27(4):375-92.
- [44] Barnett JC, Alvarez Secord A, Cohn DE, Leath CA, Myers ER, Havrilesky LJ. Cost effectiveness of alternative strategies for incorporating bevacizumab into the primary treatment of ovarian cancer. Cancer. 2013;119(20):3653-61.