

Acalabrutinib (Calquence®) for the treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (CLL)

General information [1]

Drug description	Indication
Acalabrutinib is a protein kinase inhibitor which acts by inhibiting the Bruton tyrosine kinase, thus preventing signalling for B-cell survival and proliferation and resulting in blocking cellular adhesion, trafficking, and chemotaxis.	Acalabrutinib is indicated as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ monotherapy or in combination with obinutuzumab for the treatment of adult patients with previously untreated CLL ❖ monotherapy for the treatment of adult patients with CLL who have received at least one prior therapy.

Current treatment [2]

The following first-line treatment recommendations have been made by NICE for patients with CLL:

- ❖ Venetoclax is recommended for use as an option for treating CLL, that is in adults with a 17p deletion or TP53 mutation and when a B-cell receptor pathway inhibitor is unsuitable
- ❖ Ibrutinib alone is recommended as an option for treating CLL in adults who have a 17p deletion or TP53 mutation and in whom chemo-immunotherapy is unsuitable
- ❖ Idelalisib as a first-line therapy in the presence of 17p deletion or TP53 mutation in patients who are not eligible for any other therapies
- ❖ Idelalisib, in combination with rituximab, is recommended for untreated CLL in adults with a 17p deletion or TP53 mutation
- ❖ Obinutuzumab, in combination with chlorambucil, is recommended as an option for adults with untreated CLL who have comorbidities that make full-dose fludarabine-based therapy unsuitable for them, only if bendamustine-based therapy is not suitable
- ❖ Bendamustine is recommended as an option for the first-line treatment of CLL (Binet stage B or C) in patients for whom fludarabine combination chemotherapy is not appropriate
- ❖ Rituximab in combination with fludarabine and cyclophosphamide is recommended as an option for the first-line treatment of CLL in people for whom fludarabine in combination with cyclophosphamide is considered appropriate.

Regulatory status

EMA [1, 3]	FDA [4, 5]
<p>Approval status for this indication: On 23 July 2020, the CHMP adopted a positive opinion, recommending the granting of a marketing authorisation for Calquence®, intended for the treatment of CLL.</p> <p><u>The full indication is:</u> Calquence® as monotherapy or in combination with obinutuzumab is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with previously untreated CLL. Calquence® as monotherapy is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with CLL who have received at least one prior therapy.</p> <p>Other indications: none</p> <p>✓ Orphan status: On 21 March 2016, orphan designation was granted by the European Commission for acalabrutinib for the treatment of CLL / SSL (small lymphocytic lymphoma).</p>	<p>Approval status for this indication: On 21 November 2020, the FDA approved acalabrutinib for adults with CLL or SLL.</p> <p>Other indications: Acalabrutinib is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) who have received at least one prior therapy (accelerated approval)

Costs

Currently no cost information available.

Study characteristics : ELEVATE-TN trial [6, 7]

Trial name	n	Intervention (I1)	Intervention (I2)	Comparator (C)	PE	Characteristics	Biomarker	Funding	Publication(s)
ELEVATE-TN NCT02475681	535 (1:1:1 ratio)	acalabrutinib (100 mg twice a day) + obinutuzumab	acalabrutinib (100 mg twice daily) monotherapy	obinutuzumab + chlorambucil	PFS between the two combination-therapy groups	phase 3, randomised, multicentre, open-label study	-	Acerta Pharma, a member of the AstraZeneca Group, and R35 CA198183 (to JCB)	Link

Efficacy (I vs. C)

Combination: median PFS after a median follow-up of 28.3 months: statistically significantly longer with acalabrutinib-obinutuzumab (not reached, 95% CI not evaluable–not evaluable) than with obinutuzumab-chlorambucil (22.6 months, 20.2–27.6), with a 90% reduction in relative risk of progression or death with acalabrutinib-obinutuzumab (HR 0.10, 0.06–0.17; p<0.0001).

Safety (I vs. C)

Grade ≥3 AEs: n=125/178 (70.2%) vs. n=89/179 (49.7%) vs. n=118/169 (69.8%)

<p>Monotherapy: median PFS was significantly longer with acalabrutinib monotherapy (not reached, range 34.2–not evaluable) versus obinutuzumab-chlorambucil (22.6, 95% CI 20.2–27.6) HR 0.20, 95% CI 0.13–0.30; p<0.0001).</p> <p>Estimated PFS at 24 months: was 93% (combination, 95% CI 87–96%) vs. 87% (monotherapy, 81–92%) vs. 47% (control, 39–55%). HR for PFS between acalabrutinib-obinutuzumab and acalabrutinib monotherapy was 0.49 (95% CI 0.26–0.95, post-hoc analysis).</p> <p>Median time to event (among the patients with disease progression or death): 12.7 months (IQR 8.3–20.2) vs. 13.9 months (5.7–23.4) vs. 16.4 months (11.8–21.0)</p> <p>Best overall response rate: statistically significantly better with acalabrutinib-obinutuzumab (94%, 95% CI 89–97%) vs. obinutuzumab-chlorambucil (79%, 72–84%; p<0.0001).</p> <p>Overall response was 86% for acalabrutinib monotherapy (95% CI 80–90%, p=0.08) vs. obinutuzumab-chlorambucil.</p> <p>Complete response (ICR-assessed; including complete response with incomplete bone marrow recovery): 24 (13%) of 179 patients with acalabrutinib-obinutuzumab vs. 8 (5%) of 177 patients with obinutuzumab-chlorambucil; one (1%) of 179 patients had complete response with acalabrutinib monotherapy.</p> <p>Median OS: not reached in any group (acalabrutinib-obinutuzumab vs. obinutuzumab-chlorambucil HR 0.47, 95% CI 0.21–1.06; p=0.06; acalabrutinib monotherapy vs. obinutuzumab-chlorambucil 0.60; 0.28–1.27, p=0.16)</p> <p>Estimated OS at 24 months: 95% (95% CI 91–97%) vs. 95% (90–97%) vs. 92% (86–95%)</p> <p>Median time to next treatment: not reached in any group; the risk of needing a subsequent therapy was reduced with acalabrutinib-obinutuzumab (HR 0.14, 95% CI 0.08–0.26; p<0.0001) and acalabrutinib monotherapy (0.24, 0.15–0.40; p<0.0001) compared with obinutuzumab-chlorambucil.</p>	<p>Any grade SAEs: n=69/178 (38.3%) vs. n=57/179 (31.8%) vs. n=37/169 (21.9%)</p> <p>Deaths from any cause¹: n=8/178 (5%) vs. n=12/179 (7%) vs. n=15/169 (9%)</p> <p>Deaths due to AEs: n=4/178 (2%) vs. n=6/179 (3%) vs. n=11/169 (7%)</p> <p>AEs that led to drug discontinuation (any grade): n=20/178 (11.2%) vs. n=16/179 (8.9%) vs. n=25/169 (14.1%)</p>
---	--

Risk of bias ² (study level)					
Adequate generation of randomisation sequence	Adequate allocation concealment	Blinding	Selective outcome reporting unlikely	Other aspects which increase the risk of bias	Risk of bias
yes	no	no, open-label	unclear ³	yes ⁴	unclear

Study characteristics – ASCEND trial ⁵ [8, 9]								
Trial name	n	Intervention (I)	Comparator (C)	PE	Characteristics	Biomarker	Funding	Publication(s)
ASCEND NCT02970318	310	acalabrutinib monotherapy	investigator's choice: idelalisib plus rituximab (I-R) or bendamustine plus rituximab (B-R)	PFS (by IRC) in the ITT-population	randomized, global, multicenter, open-label, phase 3 study	-	Acerta Pharma	Link

Efficacy (I vs. C)	Safety (I vs. I-R vs. B-R)
<p>Median PFS (after a median follow-up of 16.1 months): significantly longer with acalabrutinib monotherapy (PFS not reached) compared with investigator's choice (16.5 months; 95% CI, 14.0 to 17.1 months); HR 0.31 (95% CI, 0.20-0.49); p < .0001).</p> <p>Estimated 12-month PFS was 88% (95% CI, 81%-92%) for acalabrutinib and 68% (95% CI, 59% to 75%) for investigator's choice</p> <p>Overall response rate: 81% vs. 76%, p=0.22</p> <p>Median duration of response: NR vs. 13.6 months, HR 0.33, p<0.0001</p> <p>12-month duration of response rate: 85% vs. 60%</p>	<p>Grade 3 or 4 AEs: n=70/154 (45%) vs. n=101/118 (86%) vs. n=15/35 (43%)</p> <p>SAEs: n=44/154 (29%) vs. n=66/118 (56%) vs. n=9/35 (26%)</p> <p>Death: n=15/154 (10%) vs. n=13/118 (11%) vs. n=5/35 (14%)</p> <p>Grade 5 AEs: n=6/154 (4%) vs. n=5/118 (4%) vs. n=2/35 (6%)</p> <p>Discontinuation⁶: n=17/154 (11%) vs. n= 58/118 (49%) vs. n=6/36 (17%)</p>

First published: 08/2020

Abbreviations: AE=adverse event, C=comparator, CHMP=Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, CI=confidence interval, CLL= chronic lymphocytic leukaemia, EMA=European Medicines Agency, FDA=Food and Drug Administration, HR=hazard ratio, I=intervention, ICR=independent review committee, ITT=intention-to-treat, n=number, MCL=mantle cell lymphoma, MRD=minimal residual disease, n=number of patients, SAE=serious adverse event, SLL= small lymphocytic lymphoma, OS=overall survival, PFS=progression-free survival, SAE=serious adverse event, SLL=small lymphocytic lymphoma

¹ Death from any cause; including 4 deaths from CLL disease progression (2 vs. 1 vs. 1), 2 deaths due to Richter's transformation and 21 deaths due to AEs

² ELEVATE-TN trial

³ ELEVATE-TN trial is ongoing until 07/2021

⁴ Acerta Pharma sponsored the study and was involved in the study design and data analyses with the lead investigators

⁵ Only abstracts available

⁶ Discontinuation due to AE(s)

References:

1. European Medicines Agency (EMA). Medicines. Calquence [Available from: <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/summaries-opinion/calquence>.
2. National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). Acalabrutinib for chronic lymphocytic leukaemia – first line [Available from: <http://www.io.nihr.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/14904-Acalabrutinib-for-CLL-V1.0-FEB2019-NONCONF.pdf>.
3. European Medicines Agency (EMA). Medicines. Orphan Designations. [Available from: <https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/orphan-designations/eu3161624>.
4. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Drugs. Development & Approval Process | Drugs. Drug Approvals and Databases. Resources for Information | Approved Drugs. Project Orbis: FDA approves acalabrutinib for CLL and SLL. [Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/project-orbis-fda-approves-acalabrutinib-cll-and-sll>.
5. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Calquence. Label information. [Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/210259s006s007lbl.pdf.
6. Supplement to: Sharman JP, Egyed M, Jurczak W, et al. Acabrutinib with or without obinutuzumab versus chlorambucil and obinutuzumab for treatment-naive chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (ELEVATE TN): a randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2020; 395: 1278–91.
7. Sharman JP, Egyed M, Jurczak W, Skarbnik A, Pagel JM, et al. Acabrutinib with or without obinutuzumab versus chlorambucil and obinutuzumab for treatment-naive chronic lymphocytic leukaemia (ELEVATE-TN): a randomised, controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2020; 395: 1278–91.
8. Ghia P, et al. Acabrutinib vs Rituximab Plus Idelalisib (IdR) or Bendamustine (BR) by Investigator Choice in Relapsed/Refractory (RR) Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia: Results From a Pre-Planned Interim Analysis of the Phase 3 ASCEND Study. Abstract 048. 15th International Conference on Malignant Lymphoma; Palazzo dei Congressi, Lugano, Switzerland, June 18-22, 2019.
9. Ghia P, Pluta A, Wach M, Lysak D, et al. ASCEND: Phase III, Randomized Trial of Acabrutinib Versus Idelalisib Plus Rituximab or Bendamustine Plus Rituximab in Relapsed or Refractory Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia [Available from: <https://ascopubs.org/doi/abs/10.1200/JCO.19.03355>.