| Atezolizumab (Tecentriq®) as m | onotherapy for the first-line t | reatment of adult patients with metastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | G | eneral information | | | | | | | |
| Drug description | Drug description Indication [1] | | | | | | | | |
| ezolizumab is an anti-programmed death-ligand Atezolizumab as monotherapy is indicated for the first-line treatment of adult patients with metastatic NSCLC whose tumours have a PD-L1 expression pD-L1 monoclonal antibody. Atezolizumab as monotherapy is indicated for the first-line treatment of adult patients with metastatic NSCLC whose tumours have a PD-L1 expression provide tumour cells (TC) or ≥ 10% tumour-infiltrating immune cells (IC) and who do not have EGFR mutant or ALK-positive NSCLC. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | urrent treatment [2] | | | | | | | |
| The following are recommended for first-line | treatment of patients with advanced nor | n-squamous (stages IIIB and IV) NSCLC, and no specific modifications to the EGFR or ALK genes: | | | | | | | |
| with previously untreated stage III or IV NSCI | and platinum chemotherapy splatin. on, fusion protein or biomarker): and platinum chemotherapy commends platinum-based chemotherapy .C and good performance status. | y (that is, cisplatin or carboplatin and either docetaxel, gemcitabine, paclitaxel, or vinorelbine) as an option for people positive metastatic NSCLC if the tumour expresses PD-L1 with at least 50% tumour proportion score and has no EGFR- | | | | | | | |
| or ALK-positive mutations. | | Regulatory status | | | | | | | |
| EMA [1] | | FDA [3, 4] | | | | | | | |
| Approval status for this indication: On 25 March 2021 recommending a change to the terms of the marketing The full indication will be as follows: | authorisation for Tecentriq®. ne first-line treatment of adult patients a PD-L1 expression ≥ 50% TC or ≥ 10% | Approval status for this indication: On 18 May 2020, the FDA approved atezolizumab (Tecentriq®) for the first-I treatment of adult patients with metastatic NSCLC whose tumours have high PD-L1 expression (PD-L1 stained ≥ 50% of TC ≥ 50%) or PD-L1 stained tumour-infiltrating IC covering ≥ 10% of the tumour area (IC ≥ 10%), with no EGFR or ALK genomic tumour aberrations. Other indications: Tecentriq® is indicated: ♦ UC | | | | | | | |
| Other indications: Tecentriq[®] is indicated for the treat <u>Urothelial carcinoma (UC)</u> • as monotherapy for the treatment of adult metastatic UC: o after prior platinum-containing containing containin | patients with locally advanced or hemotherapy, or igible, and whose tumours have a PD-L1 el and carboplatin, for the first-line c non-squamous NSCLC. In patients Tecentriq [®] , in combination with | for the treatment of adult patients with locally advanced or metastatic UC who: are not eligible for cisplatin-containing chemotherapy and whose tumours express PD-L1 (PD-L1 stained tumour-infiltrating IC covering ≥ 5% of the tumour area), as determined by an FDA-approved test, or are not eligible for any platinum-containing chemotherapy regardless of PD-L1 status (accelerated approval). NSCLC in combination with bevacizumab, paclitaxel, and carboplatin, for the first-line treatment of adult patients with metastatic non-squamous NSCLC with no EGFR or ALK genomic tumour aberrations. in combination with paclitaxel protein-bound and carboplatin for the first-line treatment of adult patients with metastatic non-squamous NSCLC with no EGFR or ALK genomic tumour aberrations for the treatment of adult patients with metastatic non-squamous NSCLC with no EGFR or ALK genomic tumour aberrations for the treatment of adult patients with metastatic non-squamous NSCLC with no EGFR or ALK genomic tumour aberrations for the treatment of adult patients with metastatic non-squamous NSCLC with no EGFR or ALK genomic tumour aberrations for the treatment of adult patients with metastatic NSCLC who have disease progression during or following platinum-containing chemotherapy. Patients with EGFR or ALK | | | | | | | |

E

| Efficacy (I vs. C) ¹ | Safety (I vs. C) ² |
|--|---|
| Interim analysis of OS | Grade ≥3 AEs: n=86/286 (30.1%) vs. |
| In the specified population, 49.3% of patients who had high PD-L1 expression, 47.0% of patients who had high or intermediate PD-L1 expression, and 45.7% of patients | n=138/263 (52.5%) |
| who had any PD-L1 expression had died. | Related grade 3-4 AEs: n=37/286 (12.9%) |
| Median OS among patients with EGFR and ALK wt + high PD-L1 expression: 20.2 months vs. 13.1 months; HR 0.59; 95% Cl, 0.40-0.89; p=0.01 | vs. n=116/263 (44.1%) |
| Median OS among patients with EGFR and ALK wt + high/intermediate PD-L1 expression: 18.2 months vs. 14.9 months; HR 0.72; 95% CI, 0.52-0.99; p=0.04 | Serious AEs: n=81/286 (28.3%) vs. |
| Median OS among patients with EGFR and ALK wt + any PD-L1 expression: 17.5 months vs. 14.1 months; HR 0.83; 95% Cl, 0.65-1.07 | n=75/263 (28.5%) |
| Patients receiving subsequent chemotherapy (among patients with EGFR and ALK wt + high PD-L1 expression): 1.9% vs. 29.6% | Related serious AEs: n=24 (8.4%) vs. |
| | n=41(15.6%) |
| Analysis of PFS | Grade 5 AEs: n=11 (3.8%) vs. n=11 (4.2%) |
| PFS: 8.1 months vs. 5.0 months; stratified HR for disease progression or death 0.63; 95% CI, 0.45-0.88 | Related grade 5 AEs: n=0 vs. n=1 (0.4%) |
| PFS among patients with EGFR and ALK wt + high/intermediate PD-L1 expression: 7.2 months vs. 5.5 months; HR 0.67; 95% CI, 0.52-0.88 | Immune-mediated AEs: n=115 (40.2%) vs. |
| | n=44 (16.7%) |
| Occurrence and Duration of Response | Grade 3-4 immune-mediated AEs: n=19 (6.6%) vs. n=4 (1.5%) |
| Confirmed response: 38.3% vs. 28.6% | Immune-mediated AE requiring use of |
| Confirmed ongoing responses: 68.3% vs. 35.7% | corticosteroids: n=30 (10.5%) vs. n=3 |
| Investigator-assessed confirmed response among patients with EGFR and ALK wt + high/intermediate PD-L1 expression: 30.7% vs. 32.1%, with confirmed | (1.1%) |
| responses ongoing in 70.6% vs. 34.6% | AEs leading to any treatment |
| investigator-assessed confirmed response among patients with EGFR and ALK wt + any PD-L1 expression: 29.2% vs. 31.8%, confirmed responses ongoing in 70.4% | withdrawal: n=18 (6.3%) vs. n=43 (16.3%) |
| vs. 33.0% | |
| PD-L1 immunohistochemical analyses | |
| Median OS among patients with high PD-L1 expression as assessed by the SP142 assay: 20.2 months vs. 13.1 months; HR 0.59; 95% Cl, 0.40-0.89 | |
| OS in patients with a tumour proportion score of ≥50% on the 22C3 Assay: 20.2 months vs. 11.0 months; HR 0.60; 95% CI, 0.42-0.86 | |
| OS in patients with PD-L1 expression on ≥50% of tumour cells on the SP263 assay: 19.5 months vs. 16.1 months; HR 0.71; 95% Cl, 0.50-1.00 | |
| Median OS in the population of patients who could be evaluated for biomarker levels who were PD-L1-positive as assessed by the SP142 assay: 17.5 months vs. 14.1 | |
| months; HR 0.83; 95% Cl, 0.65-1.07 | |
| Median OS in patients who had a tumour proportion score of at least 1% on the 22C3 assay: 17.8 months vs.14.0 months; HR 0.73; 95% Cl, 0.55 to 0.97 | |
| Median OS in patients who had PD-L1 expression on at least 1% of tumour cells on the SP263 assay: 17.8 months vs.14.0 months; HR 0.77; 95% CI, 0.58-1.02 | |
| Median OS among patients who had intermediate/low PD-L1 expression assessed by the SP142 assay: 12.9 months vs. 14.9 months; HR 1.04; 95% CI, 0.76-1.44 | |
| OS in patients with a tumour proportion score of 1 to 49% on the 22C3 assay: 16.5 months vs. 15.7 months; HR 1.00; 95% Cl, 0.63-1.58 | |
| OS in patients with PD-L1 expression on 1 to 49% of tumour cells on the SP263 assay: 13.3 months vs.10.6 months; HR 0.94; 95% CI, 0.58-1.53 | |
| Analyses of blood-based tumour mutational burden | |
| A total of 22.4% of the patients with EGFR and ALK wt tumours ad a blood-based tumour mutational burden score of at least 16, and this burden level appeared to | |
| identify a distinct population as compared with the population identified as having high PD-L1 expression on the SP142 or 22C3 immunohistochemical assay. | |
| Median OS among patients with a blood-based tumour mutational burden | |
| score of at least 16: 13.9 months vs. 8.5 months; HR 0.75; 95% Cl, 0.41-1.35 | |
| Median PFS among patients with a blood-based tumour mutational burden score of at least 16: 6.8 months vs. 4.4 months; HR 0.55; 95% Cl, 0.33-0.92 | |
| | |

¹ Interim analysis data. ² Safety analysis was performed in all the patients who received a trial agent, including patients who received any amount of atezolizumab (n=286) and those who received chemotherapy only (n=263).

| Patient-re | ported | outcome | <u>es [8]</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---------|-------|
| Completion rates were high in both arms for the QLC-C30 and the QLC-LC13 at baseline and most study visits. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Mean baseline scores for global health status, physical functioning, and role functioning were moderate, symptom burden was low, and all were similar in both arms. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No differences in TTD were seen between arms for cough (HR 0.98; 95% Cl, 0.48-2.03), chest pain (HR 1.02; 95% Cl, 0.47-2.22), dyspnoea (HR 0.96, 95% Cl, 0.57-1.60), and 3-symptom composite score (HR 0.92; 95% Cl, 0.59-1.44). | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * I | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * I | No clinically meaningful worsening in dyspnoea, cough or chest pain was seen with atezolizumab vs. chemotherapy. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Mean ch atezolizu | | ough and ch | est pain from | baseline numericall | y improved immedia | ately after th | e start | of treatment and was maintained to | week 48 with | | | |
| * 1 | Fatigue | and naus | sea/vomiting | g scores num | erically improved im | mediately with atez | olizumab an | d were | maintained to week 48. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | sis with | longer follov | <u>w up (mediar</u> | <u>:: 31.3 months) in p</u> | <u>atients with high P</u> | D-L1 expres | sion ≥ . | 50% TC or ≥ 10% IC [10]: | | | | |
| Overall Su | | | | | . <i>,</i> | | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | 95% CI, 17.2-2 | 7.9) vs.14.7 (17.2-27. | 9); stratified HR 0.7 | 6 (95% Cl, 0. | 54-1.09 | 3) | | | | |
| 12-month | | - | 52.3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | (RECIST v1. | | | | | • • | | | | | |
| | | | |)5% CI, 6.8-11 | 4) vs. 5.0 (4.2-5.7); s | stratified HR 0.59 (9 | 5%CI,0.43-0 | .81) | | | | | |
| 12-month | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | R (RECIST 1. | 1) | | | | | | | | | |
| Complete Partial res | • | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | - | | | | | | | | | |
| Investigator-assessed DOR (RECIST 1.1) Median in months: 38.9 (95% Cl, 16.1-NE) vs. 8.3 (5.6-11.0) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Median III | montins | : 30.9 (95 | % CI, 10.1-INI | E) VS. 0.3 (5.0 | -11.0) | EC | | Vora | ion 1.1 [11] | | | | |
| Ceele | lint | Ганна | MCCT | MC | | | | vers | | _ | Oal | AJ | FM |
| Scale | Int. | Form | MG ST | MG OS: +7.1 | HR (95% CI) | Score calculatio HR ≤ 0.70 AND q | | | Toxicity | Improvoments w | QoL | AJ | FIVI |
| Original | NC | 23 | - | months | 0.59 (0.40-0.89) | ≥5 months | 4 | | -22.4% AEs ≥grade 3 | Improvements with atezolizumab in physical function, fatigue and nausea/vomiting scores | | +1 | 5 |
| Adapted | NC | 28 | - | OS: +7.1 months | 0.59 (0.40-0.89) | HR ≤ 0.70 AND g ≥5 months | ain 4 | -22./ | 4% AEs ≥grade 3; -10% AEs leading to any treatment withdrawal | Improvements with atezolizumab in physical function, fatigue and nausea/vomiting scores | | +1 | 5 |
| | · | | | | | <u> </u> | k of bia <u>s (</u> | study | v level) [12] | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Adequate | Adequate generation of randomisation sequence | | | sequence | Adequate allocation concealment | | Blindin | g | Selective outcome reporting unlike | ly Other aspects w | hich increase the risk of bias | Risk of | fbias |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

yes

no, open-label

-

unclear³

unclear

First published: 04/2021

yes4

³ IMpower110 trial is ongoing until 05/2021. Interim analysis data available.

⁴ Industry-funded; the sponsor funded the trial, provided the trial treatments, and collaborated with the academic authors on the design of the trial and the collection, analysis, and interpretation of the data. Earlier versions of the manuscript were developed by the authors, with editorial and writing assistance funded by the sponsor.

Last updated: 07/2021

Abbreviations: AE=adverse event, AJ=adjustment, ALK=anaplastic lymphoma kinase, C=comparator, CHMP=Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, CI=confidence interval, DOR=duration of response, EGFR=epidermal growth factor receptor, EMA=European Medicines Agency, ES-SCLC=extensive-stage small cell lung cancer, ESMO-MCBS= European Society of Medical Oncology – Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale, FDA=Food and Drug Administration, FM=final magnitude of clinical benefit grade, HCC=hepatocellular carcinoma, HR=hazard ratio, HSCT=haematopoietic stem-cell transplantation, I=intervention, IC=immune cells, Int.=intention, IV=intravenous, MG=median gain, n=number of patients, NE=not estimable, NICE=National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, NSCLC=non-small cell lung cancer, ORR=objective response rate, OS=overall survival, PD-L1= programmed death ligand 1, PE=primary endpoint, PFS=progression-free survival, PM=preliminary grade, QLQ-C30=Quality of Life Questionnaire Core 30, QLQ-LC13=Quality of Life Questionnaire lung cancer module, QoL=quality of life, SAE=serious adverse event, SCLC=small cell lung cancer, ST=standard treatment, TC=tumour cells, TNBC=triple-negative breast cancer, TTD=time to confirmed deterioration, UC=urothelial carcinoma, wt=wild-type.

References:

- 1. European Medicines Agency (EMA). Medicines. Tecentriq. [Available from: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/summaries-opinion/tecentriq-3].
- 2. National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). Atezolizumab for stage IV non-squamous or squamous non-small cell lung cancer. [Available from: http://www.io.nihr.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/11025-Atezolizumab-for-Stage-IV-Non-Squamous-or-Squamous-Non-Small-Cell-Lung-Cancer-JULY2019-V1.0-NON-CONF.pdf].
- 3. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA approves atezolizumab for first-line treatment of metastatic NSCLC with high PD-L1 expression. [Available from: https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-approves-atezolizumab-first-line-treatment-metastatic-nsclc-high-pd-l1-expression].
- 4.
 U.S.
 Food
 and
 Drug
 Administration
 (FDA).
 Tecentriq.
 Label
 Information.
 [Available
 from:

 <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/761034s041lbl.pdf].
 Tecentriq.
 Label
 Information.
 [Available
 from:
- 5. Österreichischer Apotheker-Verlag. Warenverzeichnis Online. [Available from: <u>https://warenverzeichnis.apoverlag.at/]</u>.
- 6. Herbst RS, Giaccone G, de Marinis F, Reinmuth N, et al. Atezolizumab for First-Line Treatment of PD-L1–Selected Patients with NSCLC. N Engl J Med 2020;383:1328-39. [Available from: <u>https://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1917346</u>].
- 7. Supplement to: Herbst RS, Giaccone G, de Marinis F, et al. Atezolizumab for first-line treatment of PD-L1–selected patients with NSCLC. N Engl J Med 2020;383:1328-39.
- 8. de Marinis F, et al. Patient-reported outcomes (PROs) in the randomized, phase III IMpower110 study of atezolizumab (atezo) vs chemotherapy in 1L metastatic NSCLC. Abstract. [Available from: <u>https://ascopubs.org/doi/abs/10.1200/JCO.2020.38.15_suppl.9594]</u>.
- 9. U.S. National Library of Medicine, ClinicalTrials.gov. A Study of Atezolizumab (MPDL3280A) Compared With a Platinum Agent (Cisplatin or Carboplatin) + (Pemetrexed or Gemcitabine) in Participants With Stage IV Non-Squamous or Squamous Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) [IMpower110].
- 10. European Medicines Agency (EMA). Tecentriq: EPAR Product Information. [Available from: <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/tecentriq-epar-product-information_en.pdf]</u>.
- 11. Cherny NI, Dafni U, Bogaerts J., et al. ESMO-Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale version 1.1. Annals of Oncology 28: 2340–2366, 2017.
- 12. European Network for Health Technology Assessment (EUnetHTA). Levels of evidence. Internal validity of randomised controlled trials. Adapted version (2015). [Available from: https://www.eunethta.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Internal-validity-of-randomised-controlled-trials.pdf].