Enzalutamide (Xtandi®) for the treatment of adult men with metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer (mHSPC) in combination with androgen deprivation therapy (ADT)											
General information											
Drug des	cription	Indication [1]									
Enzalutamide is an androg	en-receptor inhibitor.	Enzalutamide is indicated for the treatment of adult men with mHSPC in combination with ADT.									
Current treatment [2]											
 For men with mHSPC, NICE guidelines recommend: bilateral orchidectomy or continuous LHRH agonist therapy anti-androgen monotherapy with bicalutamide; or combined androgen blockade (not first-line). NICE has also published an evidence summary for the off-label use of docetaxel (in combination with ADT) for the treatment of mHSPC. Docetaxel is licensed in the UK for the treatment of metastatic hormone-resistant prostate cancer. A draft of an update to the NICE guideline for prostate cancer recommends offering docetaxel to people who do not have significant comorbidities, starting treatment within 12 weeks of starting ADT, to be administered in six 3-weekly cycles with or without daily prednisolone. Although not currently recommended by NICE, abiraterone is licensed for the treatment of newly diagnosed, high-risk mHSPC in adult men in combination with ADT plus prednisolone. 											
 Approval status for this in authorisation for Xtandi[®]. <u>The CHMP adopted an ext</u> Xtandi[®] is indica Other indications: Xtandi the treatment of the treatment of whom chemother the treatment of the t	ndication: On 25 March 2 ension to the existing ind ted for the treatment of a is indicated for: adult men with high-risk adult men with metastat erapy is not yet clinically in adult men with metastat	 Approval status for this indication: On 16 December 2019, the FDA approved enzalutamide (Xtandi®) for patients with metastatic castration- sensitive prostate cancer (mCSPC). Other indications: Xtandi[®] is indicated for									
Costs											
112 Xtandi [®] tablets 40 mg = € 2,754.84 (ex-factory price) [4] ARCHES trial patients (enzalutamide + ADT group) received enzalutamide at a dose of 160 mg daily; median treatment duration was 12.8 months [5]. According to this dosing regimen, 28 days of enzalutamide treatment would cost € 2,754.84.											
Warnings and precautions [6]											
 Seizure occurred in 0.5% of patients receiving Xtandi[®]. In patients with predisposing factors, seizures were reported in 2.2% of patients. Permanently discontinue Xtandi[®] in patients who develop a seizure during treatment. Posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome: Discontinue Xtandi[®]. Hypersensitivity: Discontinue Xtandi[®]. Hypersensitivity: Discontinue Xtandi[®]. Ischemic Heart Disease: Optimize management of cardiovascular risk factors. Discontinue Xtandi[®] for Grade 3-4 events. Falls and Fractures occurred in 11% and 10% of patients receiving Xtandi[®], respectively. Evaluate patients for fracture and fall risk, and treat patients with bone-targeted agents according to established guidelines. Embryo-Foetal Toxicity: Xtandi[®] can cause foetal harm and loss of pregnancy. Advise males with female partners of reproductive potential to use effective contraception. 											



Study characteristics [5, 7-9]													
Trial name	п	Intervention (I)	Comparator (C)	Р	E	Characteristics		Biomarker	Funding	Publication(s)			
ARCHES NCT02677896	1,150	enzalutamide (160 mg/day) plus ADT	placebo plus ADT	radiog PFS (1	raphic rPFS)	multinational, double-blind, r placebo-controlled, phas	randomized, e III trial	-	Astellas Pharma and Pfizer	[5]			
		Safety (l vs. C)										
Radiographic progression: n=79 (13.8%) vs. n=188 (32.6%) Median rPFS, months: NR vs. 19.0, HR 0.39, 95% Cl, 0.30-0.50; p<0.001										Grade ≥3 AEs: n=139 (24.3%) vs. n=147 (25.6%) Grade ≥3 SAEs: n=84 (14.7%) vs. n=90 (15.7%) Drug-related serious AEs: n=22 (3.8%) vs. n=16 (2.8%) AEs leading to death: n=14 (2.4%) vs. n=10 (1.7%) AEs leading to withdrawal of treatment: n=41 (7.2%) vs. n=30 (5.2%)			
Pre-specified sensitivity analyses of time to pain progression from the patient-reported outcome statistical analysis plan: Median time to worst pain (item 3) (months): 14.1 vs. 11.1, HR 0.82, 95%Cl, 0.69-0.98; p=0.0322 Median time to pain severity (months): 19.4 vs. 16.8, HR 0.79, 95% Cl, 0.65-0.97; p=0.0209 Mean Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Prostate total score was high at baseline for both treatment groups and remained high over time									treatment discontinuation in the absence of radiographic progression: n=12 (2.1%) vs. n=13 (2.3%)				
Enzalutamide plus ADT did not significantly affect time to deterioration in urinary symptoms or QoL compared with placebo plus ADT.													
ESMO-MCBS version 1.1 [10]													
The ESMO-MCBS is not applicable since no scorable endpoint had been reached at the time of analysis.													
			Risk of b	oias (stud	dy leve)[11]							
Adequate gen	eration of	randomisation sequence	Adequate allocation concealment	Blinding	Selecti	ve outcome reporting unlikely	ly Other aspects which increase the risk of bias		se the risk of bias	Risk of bias			
	У	/es	yes	yes		unclear ¹	yes² un			unclear			
First published: 04													

Abbreviations: AE=adverse event, AJ=adjustment, C=comparator, CHMP=Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, CI=confidence interval, EMA=European Medicines Agency, ESMO-MCBS= European Society of Medical Oncology – Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale, FDA=Food and Drug Administration, FM=final magnitude of clinical benefit grade, HR=hazard ratio, I=intervention, Int.=intention, MG=median gain, n=number of patients, NA=not applicable, NE=not evaluable, OS=overall survival, PE=primary endpoint, PFS=progression-free survival, PM=preliminary grade, QoL=quality of life, SAE=serious adverse event, ST=standard treatment

¹ ARCHES trial is ongoing until May, 2021.

² The senior academic authors and employees of the study sponsors were responsible for the study design. Data analyses were performed by the study sponsors and provided to all authors. A professional medical writer, funded by the sponsors, assisted in the preparation of the manuscript.

References:

- 1. European Medicines Agency (EMA). Medicines. Xtandi. [Available from: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/summaries-opinion/xtandi].
- 2. National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). Enzalutamide in addition to androgen deprivation therapy for treating metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer. [Available from: <u>http://www.io.nihr.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/12596-Enzalutamide-ADT-for-prostate-cancer-JAN19-NON-CONF.pdf</u>].
- 3. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA approves enzalutamide for metastatic castration-sensitive prostate cancer. [Available from: https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-approves-enzalutamide-metastatic-castration-sensitive-prostate-cancer].
- 4. Österreichischer Apotheker-Verlag. Warenverzeichnis Online. [Available from: <u>https://warenverzeichnis.apoverlag.at/]</u>.
- 5. Armstrong AJ, Szmulewitz RZ, Petrylak DP, et al. ARCHES: A Randomized, Phase III Study of Androgen Deprivation Therapy With Enzalutamide or Placebo in Men With Metastatic Hormone-Sensitive Prostate Cancer. J Clin Oncol 37:2974-2986 (2019). [Available from: https://ascopubs.org/doi/full/10.1200/JCO.19.00799].
- 6. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Xtandi. Label Information. [Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/213674s002lbl.pdf].
- 7. Supplement to: ARCHES: A Randomized, Phase III Study of Androgen Deprivation Therapy with Enzalutamide or Placebo in Men with Metastatic Hormone-Sensitive Prostate Cancer. Andrew J. Armstrong, et al.
- 8. Protocol: ARCHES: A Randomized, Phase III Study of Androgen Deprivation Therapy with Enzalutamide or Placebo in Men with Metastatic Hormone-Sensitive Prostate Cancer. Andrew J. Armstrong, et al.
- 9. U.S. National Library of Medicine, ClinicalTrials.gov. A Study of Enzalutamide Plus Androgen Deprivation Therapy (ADT) Versus Placebo Plus ADT in Patients With Metastatic Hormone Sensitive Prostate Cancer (mHSPC) (ARCHES). [Available from: <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02677896]</u>.
- 10. Cherny NI DU, Bogaerts J., et al., ESMO-Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale version 1.1. Annals of Oncology 28: 2340–2366, 2017.
- 11. European Network for Health Technology Assessment (EUnetHTA). Levels of evidence. Internal validity of randomised controlled trials. Adapted version (2015). [Available from: https://www.eunethta.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Internal-validity-of-randomised-controlled-trials.pdf].

