Cemiplimab (Libtayo®) as monotherapy for the first-line treatment of adult patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) expressing PD-L1 (in ≥ 50% tumour cells)												
General information												
Drug description [1]		Indication [2]										
Cemiplimab is a programmed cell death 1 inhibitor.	Cemiplimab as monotherap or ROS1 aberrations, who h locally a metasta	y is indicated for the first-line treatment of adult patients with NSCLC expressing PD-L1 (in \geq 50% tumour cells), with no EGFR, ALK ave: dvanced NSCLC who are not candidates for definitive chemoradiation, or tic NSCLC.										
Current treatment [3]												
Patients with a PD-L1 mutation in ≥ 50% tumours, and no gene mutation or fusion protein, NICE recommends the first-line treatment of immunotherapy, pembrolizumab alone or in combination with pemetrexed and platinum chemotherapy.												
Regulatory status												
EMA [2]		FDA [4, 5]										
 Approval status for this indication: On 20 May 2021, positive opinion recommending a change to the term authorisation for Libtayo®. The CHMP adopted new indications as follows: Libtayo® as monotherapy is indicated for th adult patients with NSCLC expressing PD-L with no EGFR, ALK or ROS1 aberrations, wh locally advanced NSCLC who are chemoradiation, or metastatic NSCLC. 	, the CHMP adopted a s of the marketing the first-line treatment of 1 (in \ge 50% tumour cells), no have: not candidates for definitive	 Approval status for this indication: On 22 February 2021, the FDA approved cemiplimab-rwlc (Libtayo®) for the first-line treatment of patients with advanced NSCLC (locally advanced who are not candidates for surgical resection or definitive chemoradiation or metastatic) whose tumours have high PD-L1 expression (TPS > 50%) as determined by an FDA-approved test with no EGFR, ALK or ROS1 aberrations. ✓ This application was granted priority review. Other indications: Libtayo® is indicated: for the treatment of patients with nBCC previously treated with a hedgehog pathway inhibitor or for whom a h										
 Other indications: Libtayo® is indicated as monotherapy for the treatment of adult locally advanced cutaneous squamous cell of laCSCC) who are not candidates for curative radiation. as monotherapy is indicated for the treatment locally advanced or metastatic basal cell can who have progressed on or are intolerant to inhibitor (HHI). ✓ Medicine under additional monitoring ✓ Medicine received a conditional marketing 	patients with metastatic or carcinoma (mCSCC or e surgery or curative ent of adult patients with rcinoma (laBCC or mBCC) o a hedgehog pathway											
Costs												
Libtayo® concentrate for solution for infusion 350 mg/7ml = € 5,653.00 (ex-factory price) [6]												

¹ The approval of a medicine that address unmet medical needs of patients on the basis of less comprehensive data than normally required. The available data must indicate that the medicine's benefits outweigh its risks and the applicant should be in a position to provide the comprehensive clinical data in the future.

Warnings and precautions [4] Immune-Mediated Adverse Reactions Immune-mediated adverse reactions, which may be severe or fatal, can occur in any organ system or tissue, including the following: immune-mediated pneumonitis, immune-mediated colitis, immune-mediated hepatitis, immune-mediated endocrinopathies, immune-mediated dermatologic adverse reactions, immune-mediated nephritis and renal dysfunction, and solid organ transplant rejection. Monitor for early identification and management. Evaluate liver enzymes, creatinine, and thyroid function at baseline and periodically during treatment. Withhold or permanently discontinue Libtayo[®] based on the severity of the reaction. ** Infusion-Related Reactions: • Interrupt, slow the rate of infusion, or permanently discontinue based on the severity of the reaction. Complications of Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation (HSCT): Fatal and other serious complications can occur in patients who receive allogeneic HSCT before or after being treated with a PD-1/PD-L1 blocking antibody. Embryo-Foetal Toxicity: Can cause foetal harm. Advise females of reproductive potential of the potential risk to a foetus and use of effective contraception. Study characteristics [1, 7-9] Comparator Intervention Trial name ΡE Characteristics Biomarker Funding Publication(s) п (I) (C) multicentre, open-label, EMPOWER-Lung 1, cemiplimab platinum-Regeneron Pharmaceuticals and global, Study 1624. 350 mg every doublet OS + PFS PD-L1 [1] 710 randomised, controlled Sanofi. NCT03088540 3 weeks chemotherapy phase 3 trial Efficacy (I vs. C) Safety (I vs. C) Grade 3-4 TEAEs: n=98/355 (28%) vs. n=135/342 (39%) PD-L1 of at least 50% population (n=563) Overall median duration of follow-up: 10.8 months (IQR 7.6-15.8) vs. 10.9 months (IQR 7.8-15.6) Serious TEAEs: n=100/355 (28%) vs. 94/342 (27%) Median OS: not reached (95% CI 17.9-not evaluable) vs. 14.2 months (11.2-17.5); HR 0.57; 95% CI 0.42-0.77; p=0.0002 Discontinuation due to TEAEs: n=23/355 (6%) vs. n=14/342 (4%) Estimated probability of OS from baseline through 24 months: 50% (36-63) and 27% (14-43) **TEAEs leading to death:** n=34/355 (10%) vs. n=31/342 (9%) Median PFS: 8.2 months (95% CI 6.1-8.8) vs. 5.7 months (4.5-6.2); HR 0.54; 95% CI 0.43-0.68; p<0.0001 TRAEs: n=204/355 (57%) vs. n=303/342 (89%) Grade 3-4 TRAEs: n=41/355 (12%) vs. n=127/342 (37%) Estimated probability of PFS from baseline through 12 months: 41% (34-48) and 7% (4-12) OS and PFS benefits with cemiplimab were evident in all subgroups examined, except for OS in female patients (n=84) Serious TEAEs considered treatment-related: n=38/355 (11%) vs. n=53/342 (15%) **IRC-assessed objective response in**: 39% (95% Cl 34-45) vs. 20% (16-26) Events leading to death that were considered related to treatment: n=9/355(3%)Median duration of response: 16.7 months (95% Cl 12.5–22.8) vs. 6.0 months (4.3–6.5) vs. n=7 (2%) ITT-population (n=710²) Overall median duration of follow-up: 13.1 months (IQR 8.6–20.2) and 13.1 months (IQR 8.7–20.1) Median OS: 22.1 months (95% Cl 17.7-not evaluable) vs. 14.3 months (11.7-19.2); HR 0.68; 95% Cl 0.53-0.87; p=0.0022 OS rate at 12 months: 70% vs. 56% Median PFS: 6.2 months (4.5-8.3) vs. 5.6 months (4.5-6.1); HR 0.59; CI 95% 0.49-0.72; p<0.0001 ORR (95% Cl): 36.5% (31.5-41.8) vs. 20.6% (16.5-25.2) **Complete response rate**: 3.1% vs. 0.8%

Partial response rate: 33.4% vs. 19.8%

² Consisting of n=563 patients from the aforementioned PD-L1 of at least 50% population, and also the 56 patients who were definitively PD-L1<50% based on validated retest and the 91 patients for whom PD-L1 expression could not be established because validated retest could not be performed.

·												
Median DOR: 21.0 months vs. 6.0 months												
Patients with observed DOR ≥ 6 months: 69% vs. 41%												
Additiona expressio HRs for O HR for PF <u>HRQoL in</u>	II sensit n <50% S: 0.65 S: 0.58 the IT Early ar chemot	tivity ana o (n=6543) (95% Cl c (95% Cl c)) (95% Cl c (95% Cl c)) (95% Cl c)	Ilysis requ)).50–0.85)).47–0.70) tion: ned clinica	<u>ested by the FD</u> ly meaningful ir	<u>A for a patient</u> nprovements ir							
ESMO-MCBS version 1.1 [10]												
Scale	Int.	Form	MG S	MG	HR (95% C	I) Score calculation	ו PM	Toxicity	QoL		AJ	FM
Original	NC	28	≤12 months	OS: +7.8 months ⁴	0.68 (0.53–0.87	HR≤0.65 AND gain) months	≥3 4	-	-		-	4
Adapted	NC	28	≤ 12 months	OS: +7.84 months	0.68 (0.53–0.87	HR>0.65-0.70 AN) gain ≥1.5 months	D 2 s	-25% Grade 3-4 TRAEs	+ _		+1	3
						Risk	of bias (s	study level) [11]				
Adequate generation of randomisation sequence Adequate allocation concealment			Blinding	Selective outcome reporting unlikely		Other aspects which increase the risk of bias	Risk of bias		f bias			
yes		no		No, open-label	unclear⁵		yes ^{6,7}	unclear		ear		
												First published: 06/2021

Abbreviations: AE=adverse event, AJ=adjustment, ALK=anaplastic lymphoma kinase, C=comparator, CHMP=Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, CI=confidence interval, DOR=duration of response, EGFR=epidermal growth factor receptor, EMA=European Medicines Agency, ESMO-MCBS= European Society of Medical Oncology – Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale, FDA=Food and Drug Administration, FM=final magnitude of clinical benefit grade, HHI=hedgehog pathway inhibitor, HR=hazard ratio, HRQoL=health-related quality of life, HSCT=hematopoietic stem cell transplantation, I=intervention, Int.=intention, IQR=interquartile range, IRC=independent review committee, ITT=intention-to-treat, IaBCC=locally advanced basal cell carcinoma, IaCSCC=locally advanced cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma, mBCC=metastatic basal cell carcinoma, mCSCC=metastatic cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma, MG=median gain, n=number of patients, NICE=National Institute of Health and Care Excellence, NSCLC=non small cell lung cancer, ORR=objective response rate, OS=overall survival, PD-L1=programmed cell death- ligand 1, PE=primary endpoint, PFS=progression-free survival, PM=preliminary grade, QoL=quality of life, SAE=serious adverse event, ST=standard treatment, TEAE=treatment-emergent adverse event, TPS=tumour proportion score

³ Including 563 patients in the PD-L1 of at least 50% population and 91 patients for whom PD-L1 expression could not be determined because validated retest could not be done.

⁴ Median OS results of the ITT-population were graded.

⁵ Second interim analysis data reported; EMPOWER-Lung 1 is ongoing until 11/2022.

⁶ Data were collected by investigators, analysed by statisticians employed by the funders, and interpreted by the authors, including employees of the funders. The first draft of the manuscript was prepared by a medical writer (funded by the funders) and was based on the authors' comments on the manuscript outline; also prepared by the medical writer. Thereafter, the first draft was critically reviewed and revised by the authors, including employees of the funders.

⁷ There were several protocol changes throughout the duration of the study. Major amendments included changing OS from being a secondary endpoint to a primary endpoint, the inclusion of an additional four timepoints for the interim analyses, and an update to the target enrolment from 300 to 700 patients to accommodate the expected weaker effect of PFS because of emerging data from other studies of anti-PD-1s in a similar setting.

References:

- Sezer A, Kilickap S, Gümüş M, Bondarenko I, et al. Cemiplimab monotherapy for first-line treatment of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer with PD-L1 of at least 50%: a multicentre, open-label, global, phase 3, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet 2021; 397: 592–604. [Available from: <u>https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0140673621002282</u>].
- 2. European Medicines Agency (EMA). Medicines. Libtayo. [Available from: <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/summaries-opinion/libtayo]</u>.
- 3. National Institute for Health and Research (NIHR). Cemiplimab as a monotherapy for advanced or metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer whose tumours express PD-L1 first line. [Available from: <u>http://www.io.nihr.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/20480-TSID_10121-Cemiplimab-for-NSCLC-v1.0-JULY2020-NON-CONF.pdf]</u>.
- 4. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Libtayo. Label information. [Available from: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/761097s007lbl.pdf]</u>.
- 5. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA approves cemiplimab-rwlc for non-small cell lung cancer with high PD-L1 expression. [Available from: <u>https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-approvals-and-databases/fda-approves-cemiplimab-rwlc-non-small-cell-lung-cancer-high-pd-l1-expression]</u>.
- 6. Österreichischer Apotheker-Verlag. Warenverzeichnis Online. [Available from: <u>https://warenverzeichnis.apoverlag.at/]</u>.
- Supplement to: Sezer A, Kilickap S, Gümüş M, et al. Cemiplimab monotherapy for first-line treatment of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer with PD-L1 of at least 50%: a multicentre, open-label, global, phase 3, randomised, controlled trial. Lancet 2021; 397: 592–604.
 European Medicines Agency (EMA). Libtayo: EPAR Product Information. [Available from:
- https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/libtayo-epar-product-information_en.pdf].
- 9. U.S. National Library of Medicine, ClinicalTrials.gov. Study of REGN 2810 Compared to Platinum-Based Chemotherapies in Participants With Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC). [Available from: <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03088540]</u>.
- 10. Cherny NI DU, Bogaerts J., et al., ESMO-Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale version 1.1. Annals of Oncology 28: 2340–2366, 2017.
- 11. European Network for Health Technology Assessment (EUnetHTA). LEVELS OF EVIDENCE. Internal validity of randomised controlled trials. Adapted version (2015). [Available from: <u>https://www.eunethta.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Internal-validity-of-randomised-controlled-trials.pdf</u>].