Sotorasib (Lumykras®) as monotherapy for the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with KRAS G12C mutation

| General information | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Drug description [1, 2] | | Indication [1] | | | | | | | |
| | | as monotherapy is indicated for the treatment of adults with advanced NSCLC with KRAS G12C mutation and who have st one prior line of systemic therapy. | | | | | | | |
| | | Current treatment [3] | | | | | | | |
| | The current treatment options for second-line or greater treatment of metastatic NSCLC are often stratified by genetic mutation and can include: Docetaxel Pemetrexed | | | | | | | | |
| | | Regulatory status | | | | | | | |
| EMA [1] | | FDA [4, 5] | | | | | | | |
| Approval status for this indication: On 11 November 2021, the positive opinion, recommending the granting of a conditional m authorisation for Lumykras®, intended for the treatment of pat G12C mutation NSCLC. Update: Date of issue of marketing authorisation valid through Union: o6/o1/2022 [6] The full indication is: Lumykras® as monotherapy is indicated for the treatment | marketing tients with KRAS hout the European ment of adults | Approval status for this indication: On 28 May 2021, the FDA granted accelerated approval to sotorasib (Lumakras™), a RAS GTPase family inhibitor, for adult patients with KRAS G12C -mutated locally advanced or metastatic NSCLC, as determined by a FDA-approved test, who have received at least one prior systemic therapy. ✓ This indication is approved under accelerated approval based on ORR and DOR. ✓ Priority review, fast-track, breakthrough therapy and orphan drug designation. FDA also approved the QIAGEN therascreen® KRAS RGQ PCR kit (tissue) and the Guardant360® CDx (plasma) as companion diagnostics for Lumakras TM . If no mutation is detected in a plasma specimen, the tumour tissue should be tested. | | | | | | | |
| with advanced NSCLC with KRAS G12C mutation and progressed after at least one prior line of systemic the Additional monitoring | | Other indications: none | | | | | | | |
| Conditional marketing authorisation | | | | | | | | | |
| Other indications: none | | | | | | | | | |
| Costs | | | | | | | | | |
| 240 Lumykras® tablets 120 mg = € 7,541.00 (ex-factory price) [7 | /] | Warnings and precautions [5] | | | | | | | |
| Hepatotoxicity: | | warnings and precautions [5] | | | | | | | |

| • • • Interstit • | Withhol ial lung c Monitoi | d, reduce dose, or p lisease (ILD)/pneun r for new or worseni | ermanently dis nonitis: ng pulmonary s | continue Lumakras [™] ymptoms. | of treatment then once monthl ^w based on the severity. | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| • | Immedi | ately withhold Lum | akras [™] for susp | pected ILD/pneumon | itis and permanently discontinu | • | itial causes of ILD | /pneumonitis are identified. | | |
| | | | | | Study characteristics | [2, 8-11] | <u>г </u> | | | |
| Trial name | n | Intervention (I) | Comparator (C) | PE | Funding | Publication(s) | | | | |
| CodeBreaK100 NCT03600883 | 124 ¹ | sotorasib orally at a dose of 960 mg once daily | - | objective response (complete or partial response) according to BICR | KRAS | Amgen and the National Institutes of Health | [2] | | | |
| | | | Effica | acy (n=124) | | | | Safety (n=126) | | |
| Definition of the second secon | | | | | | | | de: n=125/126 (99.2%) : n=53/126 (42.1%) : n=4/126 (3.2%) ated AEs of any grade: n=88/126 (69.8%) ated AEs of grade 3: n=25/126 (19.8%) ated AEs of grade 4: n=1/126 (0.8%) on due to treatment-related AEs: n=9/126 (7.1%) o/126 (15.9%); no treatment-related AEs of grade 5 were | | |
| Among the 86 patients who were assessed for PD-L1 expression, objective response and tumour shrinkage were observed across the range of baseline PD-L1 expression levels, with 48% (95% Cl, 32-63) of the patients in the PD-L1- negative group (tumour proportion score, <1%) having a response, as well as 42% (95% Cl, 31-53) of the overall population of patients who could be evaluated. Among the 84 patients who were assessed for tumour mutational burden, a response was seen in 42% (95% Cl, 30-55) of the patients in the subgroup with a low tumour mutational burden (<10 mutations per megabase) and in 40% (95% Cl, 16 | | | | | | | | | | |

to 68) of those in the subgroup with a high tumour mutational burden (>10 mutations per megabase).

¹ A total of 126 patients were enrolled; 2 patients did not have measurable lesions at baseline and were ineligible for response assessment. ² The CodeBreak100 is currently ongoing; estimated study completion date is 07/2026. ³ Defined as the sum of the longest diameters of all target lesions.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | . 1 | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------|----------------|----------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|---|--|--|----|
| | Among the 104 patients who were assessed for co-occurring genomic alterations, efficacy was seen in the subgroups with | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | mutated STK11, KEAP1, or TP53. A response was seen in 50% (95% CI, 28-72) of the patients in the subgroup with | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | mutated STK11 and wild-type KEAP1 and in 39% (95% CI, 30-49) of the overall population of patients who could be | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | mutated STK11 and KEAP1 and in 14% (95% CI, 0-58) of those in the subgroup with wild-type STK11 and mutated KEAP1. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PROs : | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * | Of 126 patients enrolled, compliance rates for each of the questionnaires were high throughout the study (>70%); data up | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | , | | e n>20) are pr | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | nctioning were maintain | ed over | time (le | ast-square | e mean | | | | | | |
| | changes ranged from -3.5-0.2 and 0.1-3.9, respectively). | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * | EORTC | QLQ-C30 | symptoms of | f fatigu | Je, nausea/\ | /omiting, p | oain, dyspnea, insomnia, | , appetit | e loss, a | nd constij | pation were | | | | | | |
| | stable or improved. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * | Similarl | , key lun | g cancer-relat | ted syr | nptoms, as | measured | by EORTC QLQ-LC13, r | emained | d stable | or improv | ed from | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1.2 (95% Cl: -16.2, -6.1) f | for coug | h, -4.9 (| 95% Cl: -1 | o.3, o.4) for | | | | | | |
| | chest pa | in, and -3 | .4 (95% Cl: -7 | .8, 1.0) |) for dyspne | ea. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * | Most pa | tients rep | orted on the | GP5 th | hat they we | re "not at a | all" (54.2%-79.2%) or "a l | little bit' | " (8.3%- | 33.3%) boʻ | thered by | | | | | | |
| | side effe | cts from | sotorasib, wit | th o%-; | 7.4% report | ing being l | bothered as "quite a bit" | ' and o% | ó as "ver | y much". | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | tenance or improvemen | | | | | L | | | | | |
| | | | | | | related syr | mptoms, including coug | h, dyspr | nea, and | chest pair | n. Self- | | | | | | |
| | reporte | l side effe | ect bother wa | s minir | nal. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | ES | MO-M | ICBS v | ersion 1 | .1 [12] | | | | | | |
| Scale | Int. | Form | MG ST | | MG | HR (95% | CI) Score calculat | ion | PM | То | xicity | | QoL AJ | | | | FM |
| | | | | | | | ORR (PR+CR) ≥ | | | ر تر م0 <u>%</u> | 45.3% grade 3-4 | | maintenance or | | | | |
| Original ⁴ | NC | NC 3 <60% AND DOR ≥9 | | R ≥9 | 3 | 3 45.3% grade | | improvement of PROs | | +1/-1 | | | 3 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | months | | | AL3 | | improvement of 1 KOS | | ļ | | | |
| Adapted | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Risk of b | ias - st | udy le | vel (cas | e series) [: | 13] | | | | | |
| 1 | 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. | | | | | | | <u> </u> | 7. | | 8. | | 9. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | Wara the aligibility | | | | | | | | | | |

| 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | 8. | 9. | |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| Was the hypothesis/ aim/ objective of the study clearly stated? | Were the cases collected in more than one centre? | Were patients recruited consecutively? | Were the eligibility criteria (inclusion and exclusion criteria) for entry into the study clearly stated? | Did participants enter the study at similar point in the disease? | Was the intervention clearly described? | Were additional interventions (co-interventions) clearly described? | Were relevant outcome measures established a priori? | Were outcome assessors blinded to the intervention that patients received? | |
| yes | yes | yes | yes | partial | yes | yes | yes | yes | |
| 10. | 11. | 12. | 13. | 14. | 15. | 16. | 17. | 18. | |
| Were the relevant outcomes measured using appropriate objective/ subjective methods? | Were the relevant outcomes measured before and after intervention? | Were the statistical tests used to assess the relevant outcomes appropriate? | Was the length of follow-up reported? | Was the loss to follow- up reported? | Did the study provide estimates of random variability in the data analysis of relevant outcomes? | Were adverse events reported? | Were the conclusions of the study supported by results? | Were both competing interest and source of support for the study reported? | |
| yes | yes | yes | yes | unclear | yes | yes | unclear | yes | |

⁴ The ESMO-MCBS form 3 is only applied for single-arm studies in "orphan diseases" and for diseases with "high unmet need" when primary endpoint is PFS or ORR. There is no adapted version of form 3.

Overall risk of bias: low

Abbreviations: AE=adverse event, AJ=adjustment, BICR=blinded independent central review, C=comparator, CHMP=Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, CI=confidence interval, CR=complete response, DOR=duration of response, EMA=European Medicines Agency, EORTC-QLQ-C₃o=European Organization for the Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire, ESMO-MCBS= European Society of Medical Oncology – Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale, FDA=Food and Drug Administration, FM=final magnitude of clinical benefit grade, GP₅=a single item of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-General version, GTPase=guanosine triphosphatase, HR=hazard ratio, I=intervention, ILD=interstitial lung disease, Int.=intention, KRAS=Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homologue, MG=median gain, n=number of patients, NSCLC=non-small cell lung cancer, ORR=overall response rate, OS=overall survival, PCR=polymerase chain reaction, PE=primary endpoint, PFS=progression-free survival, PM=preliminary grade, PR=partial response, PROs=patient-reported outcomes, QoL=quality of life, RGQ=rotor-gene Q, SAE=serious adverse event, ST=standard treatment

References:

- 1. European Medicines Agency (EMA). Medicines. Lumykras. [Available from: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/summaries-opinion/lumykras].
- 2. Skoulidis F, Li BT, Dy GK, Price TJ, Falchook GS, Wolf J, et al. Sotorasib for Lung Cancers with KRAS p.G12C Mutation. N Engl J Med 2021;384:2371-81. [Available from: <u>https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa2103695</u>].
- 3. National Institute for Health and Reaserch (NIHR). AMG 510 for KRAS G12c mutated metastatic non-small cell lung cancer after prior standard therapy [Available from: <u>https://www.io.nihr.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/27433-AMG-510-for-Non-Small-Cell-Lung-Cancer-V1.0-FEB2020-NON-CONF.pdf]</u>.
- 4. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA grants accelerated approval to sotorasib for KRAS G12C mutated NSCLC. [Available from: https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-grants-accelerated-approval-sotorasib-kras-g12c-mutated-nsclc].
- 5. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Lumakras. Label Information. [Available from: <u>https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/214665s000lbl.pdf</u>].
- 6. European Medicines Agency (EMA). Medicines. EPAR. Lumykras. [Available from: <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/EPAR/lumykras]</u>.
- 7. Österreichischer Apotheker-Verlag. Warenverzeichnis Online. [Available from: <u>https://warenverzeichnis.apoverlag.at/]</u>.
- 8. Protocol for: Skoulidis F, Li BT, Dy GK, et al. Sotorasib for lung cancers with KRAS p.G12C mutation. N Engl J Med 2021;384:2371-81.
- 9. Supplement to: Skoulidis F, Li BT, Dy GK, et al. Sotorasib for lung cancers with KRAS p.G12C mutation. N Engl J Med 2021;384:2371-81.
- 10. Spira A, et al. Patient-reported outcomes (PRO) from the phase 2 CodeBreaK 100 trial evaluating sotorasib in KRAS p.G12C mutated non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). [Available from: https://ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/JCO.2021.39.15 suppl.9057].
- 11. U.S. National Library of Medicine, ClinicalTrials.gov. A Phase 1/2, Study Evaluating the Safety, Tolerability, PK, and Efficacy of AMG 510 in Subjects With Solid Tumors With a Specific KRAS Mutation (CodeBreak 100). [Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03600883].
- 12. Cherny NI, Dafni U, Bogaerts J., et al. ESMO-Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale version 1.1. Annals of Oncology 28: 2340–2366, 2017.
- 13. Institute of Health Economics (IHE). Quality Appraisal of Case Series Studies Checklist. Edmonton (AB): Institute of Health Economics; 2014. [Available from: http://www.ihe.ca/research-programs/rmd/cssqac/cssqac-about].