Capmatinib (Tabrecta®) as mo	notherapy for the treatment of advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC)
	General information
selective inhibitor of the mesenchymal-epithelial alterations leading	Indication [2] recta®) as monotherapy is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) harbouring ng to mesenchymal epithelial transition factor gene exon 14 (METex14) skipping, who require systemic therapy following prior treatment rapy and/or platinum-based chemotherapy.
	Current treatment [3]
 Current second line or further treatments for non-squamous N Platinum doublet chemotherapy Pemetrexed with carboplatin Pemetrexed maintenance Docetaxel +/- nintedanib Atezolizumab Nivolumab Pembrolizumab Current second line or further treatment for squamous NSCLC Atezolizumab Nivolumab Pembrolizumab Nivolumab Gemcitabine + carboplatin or cisplatin Vinorelbine + carboplatin or cisplatin Docetaxel 	
	Regulatory status
EMA [2] Approval status for this indication: On 22 April 2022, the CHMP adopted a positive opinion, recommending the granting of a marketing authorisation Tabrecta®. UPDATE: Date of issue of marketing authorisation valid throughout the Eu Union: 20/06/2022 The full indication is:	for patients with metastatic NSCLC whose tumours have a mutation that leads to MET exon 14 skipping as detected by an FDA- approved test. ropean ✓ This indication is approved under accelerated approval based on overall response rate and response duration. ✓ Orphan drug ✓ Breakthrough therapy designation
 Tabrecta® as monotherapy is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with advanced NSCLC harbouring alterations leading to METex14 skipping, who require systemic therapy following prior treatment with immunotherapy and/or platinum-based chemoth Other indications: none 	Other indications: none
 Medicine under additional monitoring 	Costs



60 Tabrecta[®] tablets 200 mg = € 3,825.00 (ex-factory price) [5].

Warnings and precautions [6]

Interstitial lung disease (ILD)/pneumonitis

- Monitor for new or worsening pulmonary symptoms indicative of ILD/pneumonitis.
- Immediately withhold Tabrecta® in patients with suspected ILD/pneumonitis and permanently discontinue if no other potential causes of ILD/pneumonitis are identified.

Hepatotoxicity

- Monitor liver function tests (including ALT, AST, and total bilirubin) prior to the start of Tabrecta[®], every 2 weeks during the first 3 months of treatment, then once a month or as clinically indicated, with more frequent testing in patients who develop increased transaminases or bilirubin.
- Withhold, dose reduce, or permanently discontinue Tabrecta® based on severity of the adverse reaction.

Risk of photosensitivity

- May cause photosensitivity reactions.
- Advise patients to limit direct ultraviolet exposure during treatment with Tabrecta®.

Embryo-foetal toxicity

- Can cause foetal harm.
- Advise patients of the potential risk to a foetus and to use effective contraception.

Study characteristics [1, 7-9]										
Trial name	n Intervention (I)		Comparator (C) PE		Characteristics	Biomarker	Funding	Publication(s)		
GEOMETRY mono-1 NCT02414139	364/971	capmatinib (400-mg tablet) twice daily	(400-mg ablet) twice		ongoing ³ , prospective, international, open-label, multiple-cohort ⁴ , phase 2 study	ational, open-label, METex14 ultiple-cohort4,		[1]		
			Effic	Safety (all cohorts, n=364)						
The cut-off date for the	e efficacy a	analyses was 6 J	anuary, 2020 ⁵	Treatment-related SAEs: 48/364 (13%)						
Advanced NSCLC with	MET Exor	<u>14 Skipping Mu</u>	<u>utation</u>	Treatment-related AEs leading to discontinuation of treatment: 39/364						
Previously treated patie	ents:			(11%)						
Overall response: 41%	(95% Cl, 29	9-53)		Death from causes other than advanced NSCLC during treatment: 13/364						
Median duration of res	ponse: 9.7	months (95% CI	, 5.6-13.0)	(4%) ⁶						
Patients having a tum	our respon	se at the first tu	mour evaluatio							
Median PFS: 5.4 month	ns (95% CI,	4.2-7.0)								
Patients who had not re	eceived trea	atment previousl	<u>y:</u>							
Overall response: 68%	(95%Cl, 48	8-84)								
Median duration of res	ponse: 12.	6 months (95% 0	CI, 5.6-could not							

¹ A total of 364 patients with advanced NSCLC were enrolled in the study; of these, 97 patients had a MET exon 14 skipping mutation.

² As assessed under blinded conditions by an independent review committee according to RECIST, version 1.1. An ad hoc blinded review (by an independent neuroradiologic review committee) involving patients with a MET exon 14 skipping mutation and brain metastases at baseline was conducted after reports of responses in the brain in some patients.

³ The GEOMETRY mono-1 trial is currently ongoing; the estimated study completion date is 03/2023.

⁴ Patients were assigned to cohorts on the basis of previous lines of therapy and MET status (MET exon 14 skipping mutation or MET amplification according to gene copy number in tumour tissue).

⁵ Except in the 3 cohorts in which patients had a gene copy number of less than 10 (cohorts 1b, 2, and 3); these cohorts had been closed earlier for futility (cut-off date, 15 April, 2019). The cut-off date for the safety analyses in all the cohorts was 6 January 2020.

⁶ The reported causes were atrial fibrillation, hepatitis, pneumonia, organising pneumonia, bacterial pneumonia, pneumonitis, respiratory distress, sepsis, septic shock, sudden death, and assisted suicide (in 1 patient each) and cardiac arrest (in 2 patients). Only **one death** (from pneumonitis) **was suspected to be related to capmatinib** according to review by the investigator and according to medical review by the sponsor.

Patients having a tumour response at the first tumour evaluation after the initiation of capmatinib therapy: 68%			
Median PFS: 12.4 months (95%Cl, 8.2-could not be estimated)			
Advanced NSCLC with MET amplification			
Overall response observed in:			
- 12% of those (95% CI, 4-26) who had tumour tissue with a gene copy number of 6 to 9			
- 9% of those (95% CI, 3-20) who had tumour tissue with a gene copy number of 4 or 5			
- 7% of those (95% CI, 1-22) who had tumour tissue with a gene copy number of less than 4			
Median PFS:			
- 2.7 (95% Cl, 1.4-3.1) months among patients who had tumour tissue with a gene copy number of 6 to 9			
- 2.7 (95% Cl, 1.4-4.1) months among those who had tumour tissue with a gene copy number of 4 or 5			
- 3.6 (95% Cl, 2.2-4.2) months among those who had tumour tissue with a gene copy number of less than 4			
Previously treated patients:			
Overall response: 29% (95% Cl, 19-41)			
Median duration of response: 8.3 months (95% Cl, 4.2-15.4)			
Median PFS: 4.1 months (95% Cl, 2.9-4.8)			
Patients who had not received treatment previously:			
Overall response: 40% (95% Cl, 16-68)			
Median duration of response: 7.5 months (95% Cl, 2.6-14.3)			
Median PFS: 4.2 months (95% Cl, 1.4-6.9)			
UPDATE: Efficacy results by BIRC in previously-treated NSCLC patients with a METex14 skipping mutation (data cut-off: 30			
<u>August, 2021)[10]:</u>			
Overall previously treated population (n=100)			
Overall response rate: 44.0% (95%Cl, 34.1-54.3)			
Complete response: 1.0%			
Partial response: 43.0%			
Median duration of response: 9.72 months			
Cohort 4 (n=69)			
Overall response rate: 40.6% (95% Cl, 28.8-53.1)			
Complete response: 1.4%			
Partial response: 39.1%			
Median duration of response: 9.72 months			
Cohort 6 (n=31)			
Overall response rate: 51.6% (95% Cl, 33.1-69.8)			
Complete response: o%			
Partial response: 51.6%			
Median duration of response: 9.05 months			
ESMO-MCBS version 1.1 [11]			
Scale Int. Form MG ST MG HR (95% CI) Score calculation PM Toxicity	QoL	AJ	FM

Original	NC		3	-	ORR: 41% DOR: 9.7 months	-	ORR (PR+CR) ≥ <60% AND DOF months		3		-	-		-		3
The adapted version is not applicable for single-arm studies.																
Risk of bias - study level (case series) [12]																
:	1.			2.		3. 4.		5.		ť	5.	7.		8.	9.	
aim/ obje	Was the hypothesis/ aim/ objective of the study clearly stated?		Were the cases collected recruited		Vere patients recruited onsecutively?	Were the eligibility criteria (inclusion and exclusion criteria) for entry into the study clearly stated?	Did participants enter the study at similar point in the disease?			ntervention escribed?	Were additional interventions (co-interventions) clearly described?		Were relevant outcome measures established a priori?	Were outcome assessors blinded to the intervention that patients received?		
у	yes yes			unclear	yes	partial		partial		es	yes		yes	yes		
1	10.		11.			12.	13.	14.		15.		16.		17.	18.	
outcomes using ap objective/	Were the relevant outcomes measured using appropriate objective/ subjective methods?		ou	Vere the relevan stcomes measure before and after intervention?	t test	re the statistical is used to assess the relevant outcomes appropriate?	Was the length of follow-up reported?	Was the loss to follow- up reported?				udy provide of random in the data of relevant omes?	Were adverse reported		Were the conclusions of the study supported by results?	interest and source of
у	'es			yes		yes	no	no				es	yes		unclear	yes
Overall risk of bias: moderate																
	First published: 05/2022 Last updated: 10/2022															

Abbreviations: AE=adverse event, AJ=adjustment, ALT=alanine aminotransferase, AST=aspartate aminotransferase, BIRC=Blinded Independent Review Committee, C=comparator, CHMP=Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, CI=confidence interval, EMA=European Medicines Agency, ESMO-MCBS= European Society of Medical Oncology – Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale, FDA=Food and Drug Administration, FM=final magnitude of clinical benefit grade, HR=hazard ratio, I=intervention, ILD=interstitial lung disease, Int.=intention, MET=mesenchymal-epithelial transition, MET=x14=mesenchymal epithelial transition factor gene exon 14, MG=median gain, n=number of patients, NSCLC=non small-cell lung cancer, ORR=overall response rate, OS=overall survival, PE=primary endpoint, PFS=progression-free survival, PM=preliminary grade, QoL=quality of life, SAE=serious adverse event, ST=standard treatment

References:

- Wolf J, Seto T, Han JY, et al. Capmatinib in MET Exon 14–Mutated or MET-Amplified Non–Small-Cell Lung Cancer. N Engl J Med 2020;383:944-57. . [Available from: <u>https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa2002787</u>].
- 2. European Medicines Agency (EMA). Medicines. Tabrecta. [Available from: <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/summaries-opinion/tabrecta</u>].
- 3. National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). Capmatinib for advanced non-small cell lung cancer. [Available from: <u>https://www.io.nihr.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/7920-Capmatinib-for-NSCLC-v1.0.-APR21-NON-CONF.pdf</u>].
- 4. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA grants accelerated approval to capmatinib for metastatic non-small cell lung cancer. [Available from: https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-grants-accelerated-approval-capmatinib-metastatic-non-small-cell-lung-cancer].

- 5. Österreichischer Apotheker-Verlag. Warenverzeichnis Online [Available from: <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/tabrecta-epar-product-information en.pdf</u>].
- 6. U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Tabrecta. Label Information. [Available from: https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/213591s004lbl.pdf].
- 7. Supplement to: Wolf J, Seto T, Han J-Y, et al. Capmatinib in MET exon 14–mutated or MET-amplified non–smallcell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2020;383:944-57.
- 8. Protocol for: Wolf J, Seto T, Han J-Y, et al. Capmatinib in MET exon 14–mutated or MET-amplified non–small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2020;383:944-57.
- 9. U.S. National Library of Medicine, ClinicalTrials.gov. Clinical Study of Oral cMET Inhibitor INC280 in Adult Patients With EGFR Wild-type Advanced Nonsmall Cell Lung Cancer (Geometry Mono-1). [Available from: <u>https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02414139</u>].
- 10. European Medicines Agency (EMA). Tabrecta: EPAR Product Information. [Available from: <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information_en.pdf</u>].
- 11. Cherny NI, Dafni U, Bogaerts J., et al. ESMO-Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale version 1.1. Annals of Oncology 28: 2340–2366, 2017.
- 12. Institute of Health Economics (IHE). Quality Appraisal of Case Series Studies Checklist. Edmonton (AB): Institute of Health Economics; 2014. [Available from: http://www.ihe.ca/research-programs/rmd/cssqac/cssqac-about].