# Rucaparib (Rubraca®) as monotherapy for the maintenance treatment of advanced high-grade epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer

**General information** 

# Drug description [1]

Rucaparib (Rubraca®) is an inhibitor of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) enzymes, including PARP-1, PARP-2, and PARP-3.

### Indication [2]

Rucaparib (Rubraca<sup>®</sup>) is indicated as monotherapy for the maintenance treatment of adult patients with advanced (FIGO Stages III and IV) high-grade epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in response (complete or partial) following completion of first-line platinum-based chemotherapy.

# Incidence

In Austria, in 2020, 689 women were newly diagnosed with ovarian cancer. The age-standardised<sup>1</sup> incidence rate was 13.8 per 100,000 women [3]. The median age at diagnosis is 69 years, disease rates increase continuously until the age of 85. The majority of ovarian cancers (approximately 75%) are diagnosed at an advanced stage (FIGO stage III/IV) [4].

# **Current treatment [4]**

## **\*** For maintenance therapy after systemic therapy at initial diagnosis, Onkopedia recommends the following:

- Maintenance therapy in stages III and IV with partial or complete remission after chemotherapy is standard of care. In low-grade carcinomas, bevacizumab is used; in high-grade carcinomas, depending on the BRCA/HRD status and the question of the feasibility of bevacizumab therapy, the options available today are monotherapy with either bevacizumab or one of the PARP inhibitors olaparib or niraparib or the combination therapy with olaparib and bevacizumab.
- Bevacizumab:
  - Bevacizumab is given concurrently with chemotherapy, carboplatin and paclitaxel and subsequently as maintenance therapy for a maximum of 15 months in stages FIGO IIIA1 and IIIB-IV according to the current FIGO classification (corresponding to stages IIIB, IIIC and IV according to the 2009 FIGO classification). It leads to a prolongation of PFS. Prolongation of OS was only observed in cases of high tumour burden, residual tumour, stage IV or high-grade serous subtype.
- PARP inhibitors:
  - The PARP inhibitor olaparib can be used in BRCA1/2 mutation (germline and/or somatic), according to the SOLO1 study, and the PARP inhibitor niraparib independent of BRCA1/2

status and HRD status (PRIMA study). A significant prolongation of PFS was observed for both agents, and a benefit in OS was also demonstrated for olaparib, although not statistically significant.

- The combination of olaparib and bevacizumab can be used after completion of first-line platinum-containing chemotherapy in responding patients whose tumour has a positive HRD status, defined by BRCA1-/2 mutation and/or increased genomic instability. In the PAOLA-1 trial, the combination was shown to achieve a benefit in PFS and PFS2 over placebo + bevacizumab. Whether the combination of olaparib with bevacizumab provides a survival benefit over olaparib alone cannot be assessed based on current study data.
- The value of endocrine maintenance therapy in low-grade, hormone receptor-positive carcinomas has not been definitively evaluated (ongoing trials for anti-estrogenic therapy with aromatase inhibitors: MATAO/ENGOT-ov54/Swiss-GO2).

Regulatory status									
EMA [2]	FDA [1]								
Approval status for this indication: On 12 October 2023, the CHMP adopted a positive opinion	Approval status for this indication: not approved								
recommending a change to the terms of the marketing authorisation for Rubraca $^{m  extsf{8}}$ .	Other indications: Rubraca® is indicated								
The CHMP adopted a new indication for Rubraca® as follows:									

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> European Standard Population 2013.

<ul> <li>Rubraca<sup>®</sup> is indicated as monotherapy for the maintenance treatment of adult patients with advanced (FIGO Stages III and IV) high-grade epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in response (complete or partial) following completion of first-line platinum-based chemotherapy.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>for the maintenance treatment of adult patients with a deleterious BRCA mutation (germline and/or somatic)- associated recurrent epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in complete or partial response to platinum-based chemotherapy.</li> </ul>				
Other indications <sup>1</sup>	<ul> <li>for the treatment of adult patients with a deleterious BRCA mutation (germline</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Rubraca® is indicated as monotherapy for the maintenance treatment of adult patients with platinum-sensitive relapsed high-grade epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer who are in response (complete or partial) to platinum-based chemotherapy.</li> <li>Medicine under additional monitoring</li> </ul>	and/or somatic)-associated metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer who have been treated with androgen receptor-directed therapy and taxane-based chemotherapy. Select patients for therapy based on an FDA-approved companion diagnostic for Rubraca®. This indication is approved under accelerated approval based on ORR and DOR.				
Manufacture	er				
Rubraca® is manufactured by pharmaand GmbH.					
Costs					
Currently, there is no cost information available.					
Warnings and preca	utions [5]				
<ul> <li>During treatment with rucaparib, events of myelosuppression (anaemia, neutropenia, threatment with rucaparib. These reactions are manageable with routine medical treatment</li> <li>Complete blood count testing prior to starting treatment with Rubraca®, and monthly the from haematological toxicities caused by previous chemotherapy (≤ CTCAE Grade 1).</li> <li>Supportive care and institutional guidelines should be implemented for the management interrupted or dose reduced according to product information and blood counts monito weeks, the patient should be referred to a haematologist for further investigations.</li> <li>Myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS)/acute myeloid leukaemia (AML)</li> <li>MDS/AML, including cases with fatal outcomes, have been reported in patients who rece varied from &lt; 2 months to approximately 6 years.</li> <li>If MDS/AML is suspected, the patient should be referred to a haematologist for further in following investigation for prolonged haematological toxicity, MDS/AML is confirmed, Ru</li> <li>Photosensitivity</li> <li>Photosensitivity has been observed in patients treated with rucaparib. Patients should avarteratment; when outdoors, patients should wear a hat and protective clothing, and use su</li> <li>Gastrointestinal toxicities</li> </ul>	ombocytopenia) may be observed and are typically first observed after 8 to 10 weeks of the and/or dose adjustment for more severe cases. hereafter, is advised. Patients should not start Rubraca® treatment until they have recovered tho flow blood counts for the treatment of anaemia and neutropenia. Rubraca® should be red weekly until recovery. If the levels have not recovered to CTCAE Grade 1 or better after 4 ived rucaparib. The duration of therapy with rucaparib in patients who developed MDS/AML hvestigations, including bone marrow analysis and blood sampling for cytogenetics. If ubraca should be discontinued. oid spending time in direct sunlight because they may burn more easily during rucaparib unscreen and lip balm with a sun protection factor of 50 or greater.				
<ul> <li>Gastrointestinal toxicities (nausea and vomiting) are frequently reported with rucaparib, a interruption.</li> <li>Antiemetics such as 5-HT3 antagonists devamethacone appropriate and focumentiate or such as 5-HT3 antagonists.</li> </ul>	are generally low grade (CTCAE Grade 1 or 2) and may be managed with dose reduction or				
<ul> <li>Andemetics, such as 5-mis antagonists, devanethasone, aprepitant and tosaprepitant, ca (i.e., preventative) use prior to starting Rubraca®. It is important to proactively manage t potential to lead to complications such as dehydration or hospitalisation.</li> </ul>	hese events to avoid prolonged or more severe events of nausea/vomiting which have the				
* Intestinal obstruction					

• Cases of intestinal obstruction have been observed in ovarian cancer patients treated with rucaparib in clinical trials; 4.5% of patients experienced a serious event of intestinal obstruction, with a fatal outcome of less than 0.1%. The underlying disease may play a role in the development of intestinal obstruction in patients with ovarian cancer. In the event of suspected intestinal obstruction, a prompt diagnostic evaluation should be conducted, and the patient should be treated appropriately.

# \* Embryo-foetal toxicity

- Rubraca can cause foetal harm when administered to a pregnant woman based on its mechanism of action and findings from animal studies.
- \* Pregnancy/contraception
  - Pregnant women should be informed of the potential risks to a foetus. Women of reproductive potential should be advised to use effective contraception during treatment and for 6
    months following the last dose of Rubraca<sup>®</sup>. A pregnancy test before initiating treatment is recommended in women of reproductive potential.
- \* Excipients
  - This medicine contains less than 1 mmol sodium (23 mg) per tablet, essentially 'sodium-free'.

Study characteristics [6, 7]												
Trial name	n	Intervention (I)	Compar (C)	omparator (C) PE		Median follow-up (I vs. C)	Characteristics	Biomarker	Funding	Publication(s)		
ATHENA (GOG-3020/ ENGOT-ov45) NCT03522246	538 (4:1)	oral rucaparib 600 mg twice a day	placeb	00	PFS per RECIST (investigator- assessed)	26.1 vs. 26.2 months	<b>ongoing</b> <sup>2</sup> , international, multicentre, randomized, double-blind, phase III trial	BRCA	Clovis Oncology Inc; supported in part by the NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre	ATHENA trial [6]		
Inclusion criteria <sup>3</sup>						Exclusio	on criteria	Patient cha	Patient characteristics at baseline, ITT population (I vs. C, n=427 vs. 111)			
NCT03522246       twice a day         Inclusion criteria <sup>3</sup> <ul> <li>≥18 years and had newly diagnosed, histologically confirmed, advanced (FIGO stage III-IV), high-grade epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancer.</li> <li>Patients had completed cytoreductive surgery (R0/complete resection was permitted) before chemotherapy or following neoadjuvant chemotherapy; had completed 4-8 cycles of first-line platinum-doublet treatment, including a minimum of 4 cycles of a platinum/taxane combination (bevacizumab was only allowed during the chemotherapy phase), and achieved an investigator-assessed response.</li> <li>Sufficient formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumour tissue is available for planned analyses and a known BRCA mutation result</li> </ul>			GO rian, ancer. Ilowing bleted et cycles g the n edded result	•	<ul> <li>Non-epitheli with low mal mullerian tur</li> <li>Active secon</li> <li>Patients with treated, with enrolment, o such as early to enrol.</li> <li>Known CNS</li> <li>Any prior tre platinum reg between con the study dru previously tra- maintenance</li> <li>Evidence of i myocarditis</li> </ul>	al tumours (pu lignant potent mours/carcino d malignancy. a history of n no evidence of patients with -stage cervica brain metastas atment for ove jimen, includin npletion of the ug in this study eated breast of treatment for interstitial lung or a history of	ure sarcomas) or ovarian tumours ial or mucinous tumours. Mixed sarcomas are allowed. nalignancy that has been completely of active cancer for 3 years prior to a surgically cured low-risk tumours, I or endometrial cancer are allowed ses. arian cancer, other than the first-line of any maintenance treatment e platinum regimen and initiation of y. Ongoing hormonal treatment for ancer is permitted. Hormonal r ovarian cancer is not allowed. g disease, active pneumonitis,	<ul> <li>Mediar</li> <li>Race:</li> <li>Race:</li> <li>FIGO si</li> <li>FIGO si</li> <li>FIGO si</li> <li>Type o</li> <li>Histolo</li> </ul>	n age (range): 61.0 (30-83) vs. 61.0 White: 76.8% vs. 78.4% Asian: 18.7% vs. 14.4% Other: 2.6% vs. 5.4% Unknown: 1.9% vs. 1.8% PS: 0: 69.1% vs. 68.5% 1: 30.7% vs. 31.5% tage: III: 75.6% vs. 70.3% IV: 24.4% vs. 29.7% f cancer: Epithelial ovarian: 78.7% vs. 76.6 Fallopian tube: 11.7% vs. 16.2% Primary peritoneal: 9.6% vs. 7.29	5% %		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The ATHENA trial is currently ongoing; the estimated study completion date is 12/2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For detailed in- and exclusion criteria, please see trial protocol.

<ul> <li>(either positive or negative) via central testing.</li> <li>ÈCOG PS of 0-1.</li> <li>Have adequate organ function confirmed by the following laboratory values obtained within 14 days of randomization: <ul> <li>Bone Marrow Function: ANC ≥ 1.5 × 109/L, platelets ≥ 100 × 109/L, haemoglobin ≥ 9 g/dL</li> <li>Hepatic Function: AST and ALT ≤ 1.5 × ULN, bilirubin ≤ 1.5 × ULN; &lt; 2 × ULN if hyperbilirubinemia is due to Gilbert's syndrome, serum albumin ≥ 30 g/L (3.0 g/dL)</li> <li>Renal Function: Serum creatinine ≤ 1.5 × ULN unless estimated GFR ≥ 30 mL/min using the Cockcroft Gault formula.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Patients with an active, known, or suspected autoimmune disease.</li> <li>Patients with type I diabetes mellitus, hypothyroidism only requiring hormone replacement, skin disorders (such as vitili psoriasis, or alopecia) not requiring systemic treatment, or conditions not expected to recur in the absence of an extern trigger are permitted to enrol.</li> <li>Patients with a condition requiring systemic treatment with either corticosteroids or other immunosuppressive medicatio within 14 days of randomization.</li> <li>Drainage of ascites during the final 2 cycles of treatment with the platinum regimen.</li> <li>Pre-existing duodenal stent and/or any gastrointestinal disor or defect that would, in the opinion of the investigator, inter with absorption of study treatment.</li> <li>Known history of a positive test for (HIV) or known acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS).</li> <li>Any positive test result for hepatitis B and/or known history hepatitis B.</li> <li>Pregnant, or breastfeeding.</li> <li>Received chemotherapy within 14 days prior to first dose of study drug and/or ongoing adverse effects from such treatment NCI-CTCAE v.5.0 grade1.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Endometrioid: 3.0% vs. 0.9%</li> <li>Clear cell: 3.0% vs. 1.8%</li> <li>Mixed: 2.3% vs. 0.9%</li> <li>Other: 1.6% vs. 0.9%</li> <li>Surgical outcome:         <ul> <li>Complete resection: 61.6% vs. 65.8%</li> <li>Microscopic residual disease: 19.0% vs. 13.5%</li> <li>Macroscopic residual disease (≥1 cm): 19.4% vs. 20.7%</li> <li>Radiologic response after first-line platinum-doublet chemotherapy:                 <ul> <li>No disease after surgery: 52.5% vs. 57.7%</li> <li>CR: 17.1% vs. 9.9%</li> <li>Inevaluable/other: 12.6% vs. 12.6%</li> <li>No. of cycles of first-line platinum-doublet chemotherapy,</li> <li>Inevaluable/other: 12.6% vs. 12.6%</li> <li>No. of cycles of first-line platinum-doublet chemotherapy,</li> <li>for detain (range): 6 (4-8) vs. 6 (4-8)</li> <li>4 to &lt;6 cycles: 6.1% vs. 7.2%</li> <li>6-8 cycles: 93.9% vs. 92.8%</li> <li>Prior bevacizumab: 19.7% vs. 10.8%</li> <li>Prior bevacizumab: 19.7% vs. 10.8%</li> <li>CA-125 within normal limits at baseline by central or local: 86.9% vs. 90.1%</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> </ul>				
Ff	ficacy (Lys C)	<b>Safety</b> (Lvs $(n = 425 \text{ vs} n = 110)$				
Data cutoff 23 March 2022           HRD population (n=185 vs. n=49)           Median PFS: 28.7 months (95% Cl, 23.0-NR) vs. 11.3 mo           OS: results were immature at the data cutoff           ORR: 58.8% (95% Cl, 32.9-81.6) vs. 20.0% (95% Cl, 0.5-71           Median DOR: 16.7 months (95% Cl, 5.7-NR) vs. 5.5 mont           ITT population (n=427 vs. n=111)           Median PFS: 20.2 months (95% Cl, 15.2-24.7) vs. 9.2 mo           PFS at 24 months: 45.1% vs. 25.4%           OS: results were immature at data cutoff; 24.7% of death           ORR: 48.8% (95% Cl, 32.9-64.9) vs. 9.1% (95% Cl, 0.2-41.           Median DOR: 22.1 months (95%Cl, 84-NR) vs. 5.5 mont	TEAEs of any grade: 96.7% vs. 92.7% TEAEs of grade ≥ 3: 60.5% vs. 22.7% TEAEs leading to discontinuation: 11.8% vs. 5.5% Death due to a TEAE: 0.5% <sup>4</sup> vs. 0					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> N=1 because of myocardial infarction and pulmonary embolism and n=1 because of multiple organ dysfunction syndrome; neither was considered related to rucaparib.

Patient-reported outcomes															
Changes from baseline in Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy—Ovarian Trial Outcome Index scores were similar between rucaparib and placebo in the ITT population.															
	ESMO-MCBS version 1.1 [8]														
Scale	Int.	Form	MG	ST	MG	HR (95% CI)	Scor	re calculation	PM	Toxicity		QoL	AJ	FM	
Original	NC	2B	>6 m	onths	PFS: +11 months	0.52 (0.40-0.68)	≤0.65 AND gain ≥3 months		3	-	No improvement -		-	3	
Adapted	NC	2B	>6 ma	onths	PFS: +11 months	0.52 (0.40-0.68)	≤0.65 AND gain ≥3 months		3	TEAEs grade ≥3: +37.8%	N	lo improvement	-1 <sup>5</sup>	2	
						-	Risk of bi	ias (RCT) [9]							
Adeq rando	uate ge misatio	neration n sequen	of ice	Ac	dequate allocation concealment	Blinding	Blinding		me ely	Other aspects which increase the risk of bias		Risk of b	Risk of bias		
	ye	S			unclear	yes		unclear <sup>6</sup>		yes <sup>7</sup>		unclear			
	low r	isk			unclear risk	low risk		unclear risk		high risk	diferent				
Ongoing trials [10]															
NCT	NCT number/trial name Description								Estimated s	Estimated study completion date					
NCT0352	2246/A	THENA		Please	e see above.							12	12/2030		
NCT0422	7522/N	IAMOC		Rucap patien	arib maintenance after k Its.	pevacizumab mainter	nance follow	ving carboplatin-base	ed first-l	ine chemotherapy in ovarian c	ancer	01	01/2025		
Available assessments															
In February 2022, a Health Technology Briefing "Rucaparib maintenance therapy for ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer after frontline platinum-based chemotherapy" was															
publi	shed by	NIHR [1	1].												
<ul> <li>No fu</li> </ul>	irther as	ssessmen	its were	identifie	d via NICE, CADTH, ICER	and G-BA.									
						Othe	r aspects	and conclusion	S		<u>.</u>				
In Oc	tober 2	023, the	CHMP a	dopted	a new indication for Ru	ubraca®, indicated as	s monothera	apy for the maintena	ince trea	tment of adult patients with a	dvanced	d (FIGO Stages III a	and IV) hi	igh- tion is	
grade	e epitne ntly <b>not</b>	annrov	an, ranop ed by th	ρα FDA	e, or primary peritoneal	cancer who are in res	sponse (con	ipiete or partial) iolic	owing co	impletion of first-line platinum	i-based	chemotherapy. If	lis indica	uonis	
♦ ATH	E <b>NA</b> (N)	СТ035222	246) is ai	n <b>onaoi</b>	<b>ng</b> . international. multice	entre, randomized, d	ouble-blind	phase III trial comp	aring rug	aparib maintenance treatmen	it vs. pla	acebo. Eligible pati	ents were	> > 18	
years	old and	d had nev	wly diagi	nosed, h	istologically confirmed,	advanced (FIGO stag	e III-IV), hig	h-grade epithelial ov	varian, fa	llopian tube, or primary perito	oneal ca	ncer. Patients had	complete	ed	
cytoreductive surgery															
befor	before chemotherapy or following neoadjuvant chemotherapy; had completed 4-8 cycles of first-line platinum-doublet treatment, achieved an investigator-assessed response and had an ECOG of														
0-1 a meta	0-1 and adequate organ function. Patients with non-epithelial tumours or ovarian tumours with low malignant potential or mucinous tumours, an active second malignancy, or known CNS brain											5 brain			
The primary endpoint was investigator-assessed PFS in the HRD population and the ITT population. Median PFS was 28.7 months vs. 11.3 months in the HRD population (log-rank p=.0004)															
HR 0.47; 95% CI, 0.31-0.72) and 20.2 months vs. 9.2 months in the ITT population (log-rank p=.0001; HR 0.52; 95% CI, 0.40-0.68).															
Analysis of patient-reported outcomes among patients of the ITT population showed that changes from baseline in Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy—Ovarian Trial Outcome Index															
scores were <b>similar</b> between rucaparib and placebo.															

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Downgrade 1 level due to +37.8% TEAEs in the rucaparib group.
 <sup>6</sup> The ATHENA trial is ongoing; currently,
 <sup>7</sup> Industry-funded.

- The original and adapted ESMO-MCBS were applied, resulting in a final adjusted magnitude of clinical benefit grade of 3 and 2, respectively.
- Due to the ongoing status of the ATHENA trial, the risk of bias is considered unclear. However, it is increased by its industry-funded background.
- Besides the ATHENA trial, one further ongoing trial, assessing rucaparib maintenance after bevacizumab maintenance following carboplatin-based first-line chemotherapy in ovarian cancer patients, was identified.
- Analysis of the ATHENA trial data is limited due to the small number of placebo group patients, as patients were randomized in a 4:1 ratio to both treatment arms. Moreover, an improvement of 38.7% of TEAEs in patients treated with rucaparib as compared to placebo group patients raises safety concerns. Hence, final and robust phase 3 data is required.

#### First published: 11/2023

Abbreviations: ADP=adenosine diphosphate, AE=adverse event, AIDS=acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, AJ=adjustment, AML=acute myeloid leukemia, ANC=absolute neutrophil count, BRCA=breast cancer susceptibility gene, C=comparator, CADTH=Canada's Drug and Health Technology Agency, CHMP=Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use, CI=confidence interval, CNS=central nervous system, CTCAE=Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, DOR=duration of response, ECOG PS=Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status, EMA=European Medicines Agency, ESMO-MCBS= European Society of Medical Oncology – Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale, FDA=Food and Drug Administration, FIGO=International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics, FM=final magnitude of clinical benefit grade, G-BA=Gemeinsamer Bundesausschuss, GFR=glomerular filtration rate, HIV=human immunodeficiency virus, HR=hazard ratio, HRD=homologous recombination deficiency, I=intervention, ICER=Institute for Clinical and Economic Review, Int.=intention, MDS=myelodysplastic syndrome, MG=median gain, n=number of patients, NCI-CTCAE=National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, NE=not evaluable, NICE=National Institute for Health Care Excellence, NIHR=National Institute for Health Research, NR=not reached, ORR=objective response rate, OS=overall survival, PARP=poly adenosine diphosphate-ribose polymerase, PE=primary endpoint, PFS=progression-free survival, PM=preliminary grade, QoL=quality of life, RECIST=Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors, SAE=serious adverse event, ST=standard treatment, TEAE=treatment-emergent adverse event, ULN=upper limit of normal

# **References:**

- 1.
   U.S.
   Food
   and
   Drug
   Administration
   (FDA).
   Rubraca.
   Label
   Information.
   [Available
   from:

   <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2022/209115s013lbl.pdf">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\_docs/label/2022/209115s013lbl.pdf</a>].
   Information.
   [Available
   from:
- 2. European Medicines Agency (EMA). Medicines. Rubraca. [Available from: <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/summaries-opinion/rubraca</u>].
- 3. Statistik Austria. Krebserkrankungen. Krebsinzidenz nach ausgewählten Lokalisationen und Geschlecht. [Available from: <u>https://www.statistik.at/statistiken/bevoelkerung-und-soziales/gesundheit/krebserkrankungen</u>].
- 4. Onkopedia, Busse A, et al. Onkopedia Guidelines. Ovarian cancer. [Available from: <u>https://www.onkopedia-guidelines.info/en/onkopedia/guidelines/ovarian-cancer/@@guideline/html/index.html#ID0EPBAG</u>].
- 5. European Medicines Agency (EMA). Rubraca: EPAR Product Information. [Available from: <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/rubraca-epar-product-information\_en.pdf</u>].
- 6. Monk B, Parkinson C, Lim MC, et al. A Randomized, Phase III Trial to Evaluate Rucaparib Monotherapy as Maintenance Treatment in Patients With Newly Diagnosed Ovarian Cancer (ATHENA–MONO/GOG-3020/ ENGOT-ov45). J Clin Oncol 40:3952-3964.
- 7. U.S. National Library of Medicine, ClinicalTrials.gov. A Study in Ovarian Cancer Patients Evaluating Rucaparib and Nivolumab as Maintenance Treatment Following Response to Front-Line Platinum-Based Chemotherapy (ATHENA). [Available from: <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03522246</u>].
- 8. Cherny NI, Dafni U, Bogaerts J., et al. ESMO-Magnitude of Clinical Benefit Scale version 1.1. Annals of Oncology 28: 2340–2366, 2017.
- 9. European Network for Health Technology Assessment (EUnetHTA). Levels of evidence. Internal validity of randomised controlled trials. Adapted version (2015). [Available from: <a href="https://www.eunethta.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Internal-validity-of-randomised-controlled-trials.pdf">https://www.eunethta.eu/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/Internal-validity-of-randomised-controlled-trials.pdf</a>].
- 10. U.S. National Library of Medicine, ClinicalTrials.gov. [Available from: <u>https://classic.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/home</u>].
- 11. National Institute for Health and Research (NIHR). Rucaparib maintenance therapy for ovarian, fallopian tube or primary peritoneal cancer after frontline platinum-based chemotherapy. [Available from: <u>https://www.io.nihr.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/33641-Rucaparib-for-Epithelial-ovarian-or-fallopian-tube-or-primary-peritoneal-cancer-V1.0-FEB2022-NON-CONF.pdf</u>].